# DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO/DIS 24212

ISO/TC 190/SC 7

Voting begins on: **2023-04-11** 

Secretariat: DIN

Voting terminates on: 2023-07-04

# **Remediation techniques applied at contaminated sites**

Techniques de dépollution appliquées en sites et sols pollués

ICS: 13.080.01

This document is circulated as received from the committee secretariat.

THIS DOCUMENT IS A DRAFT CIRCULATED FOR COMMENT AND APPROVAL. IT IS THEREFORE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND MAY NOT BE REFERRED TO AS AN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD UNTIL PUBLISHED AS SUCH.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

# **ISO/CEN PARALLEL PROCESSING**



Reference number ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)



# **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Page
------

Forev	vord		viii	
Intro	duction		ix	
1	Scope			
2	Normative references			
2	Torms and definitions			
5	Ierms and definitions			
4	Abreviation	S	3	
5	Overview of5.1Struct5.2Gener	<b>the document</b> ture of the document ic concepts associated with remediation	<b>5</b> 	
6	Principles         f           implementing         6.1         Princ           6.2         Best p           6.2.1         6.2.2           6.2.3         6.2.4	<b>For carrying out a feasible remediation options appraisal prior to</b> <b>ng remediating strategy</b> iples for option appraisal of feasible remediation practice in carrying out an options appraisal General Identifying feasible remediation options Detailed evaluation of options appraisal Selecting the final remediation option		
7	Generic         reference           7.1         Generic           7.2         Generic           7.2.1         7.2.1           7.2.2         7.2.3           7.2.4         7.3	commendations for selection and effective implementation of techniques ral ric operation windows. Considering the site's context. Remedition set up on-site Techniques prerequistes. Techniques "Collateral effects"	<b>13</b> 13 14 14 14 15 15 16	
8	Generic reco 8.1 Gener 8.2 Risk r 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.2.7 8.2.8 8.2.9 8.2.10 8.2.11 8.2.12 8.2.13 8.2.14 8.2.15 8.2.16 8.2.17 8.2.18 8.2.19 8.2.20 8.2.20 8.2.21	pmmendations for managing hazards and risks during remediation         ral         nanagement process         General         Hazards and controls associated with contaminated soil and groundwater         Asbestos         Dust         Offensive or noxious odours         Contaminated Waste         Remediation equipment         Unexpected finds         Long-term monitoring         Outdoor work         Remote or isolated working         Underground services and pipelines         Ground stability         Excavations         Unexploded ordnance (UXO)         Confined space         Hazardous chemicals and dangerous goods         Hazardous manual tasks         Slips, trips and falls         Plant and equipment	16           17           17           17           17           17           17           18           18           18           19           19           19           20           20           20           20           21           21           21	
9	8.2.21 Remediation	Noise		

9.1	In-Sit	u Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)	
	9.1.1	Technique Principle	
	9.1.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	
	9.1.3	Description of the technology	
	9.1.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	
	9.1.5	Key monitoring parameters	
	9.1.6	Advantages and limitations	
	9.1.7	Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects	
	9.1.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	
9.2	In-Sit	u Chemical Reduction (ISCR)	24
	9.2.1	Technique principle	
	9.2.2	Scope and applicability of the technique	
	9.2.3	Technology description	24
	9.2.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	
	9.2.5	Key monitoring parameters	
	9.2.6	Advantages and limitations	
	9.2.7	Specific health and safety aspects	
~ ~	9.2.8	Possible other techniques that can be combined with the technique	
9.3	Enha	nced in-situ bioremediation (EISB)	
	9.3.1	Technique principle	
	9.3.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	
	9.3.3	Description of technology	
	9.3.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	
	9.3.5	Key monitoring parameters	
	9.3.0	Advantages and limitations	
	9.3./	Describle other techniques or containment enpression that can be	27
	9.3.0	combined with the technique	27
Q /	Monit	tornd Natural Attenuation (MNA)	
9.4	9 <i>A</i> 1	Technique principle	
	942	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	27
	943	Description of technology	27
	9.4.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	27
	9.4.5	Key monitoring parameters	
	9.4.6	Advantages and limitations	28
	9.4.7	Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects	
	9.4.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	
9.5	In-Sit	u Thermal Remediation (ISTR)	
	9.5.1	Technique principle	
	9.5.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	
	9.5.3	Description of technology	
	9.5.4	Design consideration and dimensioning	
	9.5.5	Key monitoring parameters	
	9.5.6	Advantages and limits	
	9.5.7	Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects	
	9.5.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	30
9.6	On-si	te Thermal desorption	30
	9.6.1	Technique principle	
	9.6.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	
	9.6.3	Technology description	30
	9.6.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	30
	9.6.5	Key monitoring parameters	
	9.6.6	Advantages and limits	
	9.6.7	Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects	

	9.6.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	31
9.7	Soil Va	pour Extraction (SVE)	32
	9.7.1	Technique Principle	32
	9.7.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	32
	9.7.3	Technology description	32
	9.7.4	Design and dimensioning considerations	32
	9.7.5	Key monitoring parameters	32
	9.7.6	Advantages and limits	
	9.7.7	Specific Environemental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects	
	9.7.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	33
9.8	Air-sn	arging	33
	9.8.1	Technique principle	33
	9.8.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	33
	9.8.3	Technology description	34
	984	Design considerations and dimensioning	34
	985	Key monitoring parameters	34
	986	Advantages and limits	34
	987	Specific Environemental Health and Safety (FHS) aspects	34
	988	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
	2.0.0	combined with the technique	34
99	Multi-	Phase Extraction (MPE)	34
).)	991	Technique principle	3 T
	992	Scone and applicability of the technique (operating window)	25
	003	Technology description	25
	0.0.0 0.0 /	Design considerations and dimensioning	35
	005	Key monitoring parameters	35
	0.0.6	Advantages and limitations	33
	9.9.0	Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects	30
	9.9.7	Descible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	30
	9.9.0	combined with the techniques	26
0.10	DualD	Complied with the technique	30
9.10	Dual P	Tachnique principle	30
	9.10.1	Scene and applicability of the technique (operating window)	30
	9.10.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	30
	9.10.3	Design considerations and dimensioning	30
	9.10.4	Very monitoring nerometers	37
	9.10.5	Advantages and limitations	37
	9.10.6	Advantages and limitations	37
	9.10.7	Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects	37
	9.10.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	27
0.11	I I J	combined with the technique	37
9.11	Hydra	ulic techniques for groundwater remediation	37
	9.11.1	lecnnique principie	37
	9.11.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	38
	9.11.3	Technology description	38
	9.11.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	38
	9.11.5	Key monitoring parameters	
	9.11.6	Advantages and limitations.	39
	9.11.7	Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects	39
	9.11.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
0.1-	<b>a</b>	combined with the technique	39
9.12	Soil w	ashing	39
	9.12.1	Technique principle	39
	9.12.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	39
	9.12.3	Technology description	39
	9.12.4	Design and dimensioning considerations	40
	9.12.5	Key monitoring parameters	40

	9.12.6	Advantages and limitations	40
	9.12.7	Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects	40
	9.12.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	40
9.13	Biopil	ing	41
	9.13.1	Technique principle	41
	9.13.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	
	913.3	Technology description	41
	9.13.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	41
	9.13.5	Key monitoring parameters	41
	9136	Advantages and limitations	42
	9137	Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspect	42
	913.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	14
	7.15.0	combined with the technique	42
01/	Landf	combined with the technique	42
9.14	0.1/1	I IIIIg.	42
	9.14.1	Scene and applicability of the technique (operating window)	42
	9.14.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	43 42
	9.14.3	Technology description	43
	9.14.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	43
	9.14.5	Key monitoring parameters	43
	9.14.6	Advantages and limitations.	
	9.14.7	Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects	44
	9.14.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
0.4 -	<b>.</b> .	combined with the technique	44
9.15	Incine	ration	
	9.15.1	Technique principle	44
	9.15.2	Scope and applicability if the technique	44
	9.15.3	Technology description	44
	9.15.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	45
	9.15.5	Key monitoring parameters	45
	9.15.6	Advantages and limitations	45
	9.15.7	Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects	46
	9.15.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	46
9.16	Vertic	al Barrier Technologies (VBT)	46
	9.16.1	Technique principle	46
	9.16.2	Scope and applicability of the technique	46
	9.16.3	Technology description	46
	9.16.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	47
	9.16.5	Key monitoring parameters	47
	9.16.6	Advantages and limitations	47
	9.16.7	Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects	47
	9.16.8	Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be	
		combined with the technique	48
9.17	Cover	systems	48
	9.17.1	Technique principle	48
	9.17.2	Scope and applicability of the technique	
	9.17.3	Technology description	48
	9.17.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	49
	9175	Key monitoring parameters	49
	9176	Avantages and limitations	49
	9177	Snecific Environmental Health and Security (FHS) aspects	50
<b>91</b> 8	Porme	optime in a final mean mean and security (into ) aspects	50
7.10	Q 1 Q 1	Technique Principle	50 50
	Q 1 Q 7	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	50
	0.10.2	Technology description	30 E 0
	Q 1 Q /	Design considerations and dimensioning	50
	010 5	Voy monitoring parameters	JU E1
	2'TQ'2	NEY INVITUUTING PALATITETETS	

	9.18.6	Advantages and limits	51
	9.18.7	Specific health and safety aspects	51
	9.18.8	Possible combination with other technique and technique variations	51
9.19	Immobi	ilisation techniques for soil and solid materials	51
	9.19.1 ′	Technique principle	51
	9.19.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	52
	9.19.3 ′	Technology description	52
	9.19.4	Design and dimensioning considerations	52
	9.19.5	Key monitoring parameters	53
	9.19.6	Advantages and limitations	53
	9.19.7	Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects	54
	9.19.8	Possible other technique or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique	54
9.20	Excavat	tion	54
	9.20.1	Technique principle	54
	9.20.2	Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)	54
	9.20.3	Description of technology	55
	9.20.4	Design considerations and dimensioning	55
	9.20.5	Key monitoring parameters	55
	9.20.6	Advantages and limitations	55
	9.20.7	Specific Health and Safety aspects	55
	9.20.8	Possible other technique or containment approaches that can be combined	
	,	with the technique	56
	9.20.9	Possible other technique or containment approaches that can be combined	
	,	with the technique	56
9.21	Off-Gas	treatment technologies and wastewater treatment technologies	56
	9.21.1	General	56
	9.21.2	Carbon adsorption	56
	9.21.3	Off-Gas treatment technologies	57
	9.21.4	Wastewater treatment technologies	57
Annex A (in	nformative	e) listing of potential feasible remediation options	60
Annex B (in	nformative	e) Contaminant families versus potential remediation techniques	65
Annex C (in	nformative	e) Examples of measures to assist in the risk management process of fety at remediation sites	72
Annex D (i	nformative	e) Examples of illustrative diagrams of remediation techniques	72
Dibligare			03
ыбнодгар	пу		92

# Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="http://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 190, *Soil Quality*, Subcommittee SC 7, *Impact Assessment*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u>.

# Introduction

In the context of contaminated land, if unacceptable risks associated with a site are identified following a risk assessment and need to be managed, remediation is likely to be required to remove or reduce contamination and/or otherwise control risks, i.e. remedial actions are required to prevent, minimise, remedy or mitigate the effects of the unacceptable risks. The choice, implementation and verification of remediation techniques require detailed site characterization and risk assessment.

A remediation strategy will have to be set up encompassing these actions by implementing technical and organisational actions on contaminant source(s), transport and exposure pathways and / or receptors aiming to control the unacceptable impacts and associated risks that have been established following the investigations and risk assessment. Amongst these actions are the implementation of individual, or combinations of, remediation techniques aiming to address contaminants that could be present as free phase or within the soil, water, soil gas or ambient air.

This document provides guidance on key aspects for effective implementation of individual or combinations of in-situ and on-site remediation techniques. It was developed in response to demand for minimum specifications for the selection and verification of remediation strategies to manage the risks from contaminated sites.

It is intended to inform practitioners and stakeholders about the main characteristics of commonly used remediation techniques. It can also help practitioners to select technically feasible approaches within the options appraisal phase, based on the state of the art of remediation technologies. It is not intended to prescribe which technique to use in a specific context. Therefore, it is not a framework that can cover all individual situations.

NOTE 1 Some of the on-site techniques presented in the document can also be used within off-site treatment facilities but the latter are not covered (e.g. incineration on-site is covered but not incineration at a permanent off-site installation).

NOTE 2 There is a continuous development of remediation techniques. It is possible that the standard does not reflect all knowledge that is being gained as techniques are improved.

NOTE 3 Not all available techniques are covered. Those not covered include: electrokinetic methods to remove contaminants or to improve the effectiveness of other methods (e.g. electrokinetic enhanced bioremediation), and phytoremediation.

# **Remediation techniques applied at contaminated sites**

## 1 Scope

This document provides guidance on key aspects of remediation techniques and their implementation. It briefly describes principles, the main characteristics and the advantages and limitations to be considered in the selection within an option appraisal of individual or combinations of in-situ and on-site remediation techniques, including:

- the type of contaminants to be dealt with;
- current and/or intended site use;
- local legal, policy, socio-economic and environmental contexts

It follows the principles for sustainable remediation in ISO 18504.

The scope of this document is restricted to the remediation of contaminated sites, i.e. where soil, or soil gas, ambient air or groundwater are contaminated. It identifies which phase/matrix can be targeted by a technique, e.g. fluid, (groundwater, gas, non-aqueous phase) or solid and which contaminant it can applied to. The document also provides information on hazards that can be associated with the implementation of a remediation.

This document does not provide:

- an exhaustive list of remediation techniques;
- guidance on sites contaminated with radioactive substances, pathogenic or infectious agents, or "pyrotechnic devices (e.g. unexploded ordnances)";
- guidance on ex-situ techniques that are set up off-site;
- a framework that covers all individual situations; or prescribe which technique(s) to use in a specific context.

#### 2 Normative references

The following document is referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 18504:2017, Soil quality — Sustainable remediation

ISO 11074:2015/amd 2020, Soil quality - Vocabulary

ISO 21365:2019, Soil quality — Conceptual site models for potentially contaminated sites

#### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 11074 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <u>https://www.iso.org/obp</u>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <u>https://www.electropedia.org/</u>

#### 3.1

#### contaminant linkage

presence and relationship established between contaminants, preferential pathways and receptors

#### 3.2

#### engineering-based technique

civil engineering technique (e.g. excavation, containment, hydraulic control) used to remove the contaminant source or soil material or to modify pathways without necessarily removing, destroying, or modifying the source

Note 1 to entry: Some of these techniques can be considered when implementing protective measures.

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015, 6.2.1, modified - Note 1 to entry added]

#### 3.3

#### environmental medium

soil, underlying material, sediments, surface water, groundwater, soil gas, and ambient air that can contain contaminants

[SOURCE: ISO 21365:2019, 3.4, modified – "ambient" added before "air"]

#### 3.4

#### ex-situ treatment technique

treatment technique applied to medium to be treated (e.g. soil, groundwater) after extraction from the ground

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015, 6.2.2, modified – "method" replaced by "technique"]

#### 3.5

hazard

property of a substance or material or situation that in particular circumstances could lead to harm or pollution

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015 5.2.15]

#### 3.6

#### in situ treatment technique

treatment technique applied to medium to be treated (e.g. soil, groundwater) without extraction from the ground

Note 1 to entry: The remediation installation is built on-site and the treatment of the contaminants is applied directly to the subsurface.

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015, 6.2.3, modified - "method" replaced by "technique" and Note 1 to entry added]

#### 3.7

#### off-site treatment

treatment applied away from the site to be remediated

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015, 6.2.4]

#### 3.8

#### on-site treatment

treatment applied on the site being remediated

Note 1 to entry: In the case of contaminated ground, treatment is applied after extraction of environmental medium material from the ground.

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015, 6.2.5, modified – Note 1 to entry added]

#### 3.9

#### remediation

process of dealing with contaminated soil, groundwater, or site to eliminate, reduce or control risks to human health or the environment

Note 1 to entry: A remediation can rely on individual remediation technique or combination of remediation techniques.

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015, 6.1.17, modified – "reduce" after "to eliminate" and Note to entry 1 added]

#### 3.10

#### remediation strategy

#### remedial design

one or more remediation technologies and associated works that will meet specified contamination related risk reduction objectives

Note 1 to entry: The choice of methods might be constrained by a variety of site-specific factors such as topography, geology, hydrogeology, underground services propensity to flood, and climate.

[SOURCE: ISO 18504:2017, 3.5, modified – "remedial design" and Note 1 to entry added]

#### 3.11

#### sustainable remediation

elimination, reduction and/or control of unacceptable risks in a safe and timely manner whilst optimising the environmental, social and economic value of the work

[SOURCE: ISO 18504:2017, 3.10]

#### 3.12

#### risk

combination of the probability or frequency of occurrence of a defined hazard and the magnitude of the consequences of the occurrence

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015, 5.2.24]

#### 3.13

#### unacceptable risk

level of risk that requires remediation

Note 1 to entry: The level of risk could be evaluated by comparison to a numeric threshold or by benchmarking against a narrative definition. Different levels of risk are deemed unacceptable in different countries or even by different laws within a country.

[SOURCE: ISO 11074:2015 5.2.24]

#### **4** Abreviations

- BTEX Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylene-isomers
- CHP Catalyzed H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Propagations
- COD Chemical oxygen demand
- DNAPL Dense Non Aqueous Phase Liquid
- DPLE Dual Pump Liquid Extraction
- ECH Electric conduction heating
- EHS Environement Health and Safety Environment

# ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

EISB	Enhanced In Situ Bioremediation
ENA	Enhanced Natural Attenuation
NAG	Funnel and gate
GAC	Granular Activated Carbon
HDPE	High Density PolyEthylene
HRc	Hydrogen Release coumpond
IS S/S	In-Situ Stabilization / Solidification Technique
ISC	In-situ combustion
ISCO	In-Situ Chemical Oxidation
ISCR	In-Situ Chemical Reduction
ISTD	In Situ Thermal Desorption
ISTR	In-Situ Thermal Remediation
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LNAPL	Light Non Aqueous Phase Liquid
MNA	Monitored Natural Attenuation
MPE	Multi Phase Extraction
MTBE	Methyl Ter Butyl Ether
NOD	Natural Oxidant Demand
NSZD	Natural Source Zone Depletion
ORC	Oxygen Release Compound
ORP	Oxydation Reduction P
P & T	Pump & Treat
РАН	Polyaromatic Hydrocarbon
PBDD	Polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxin
PBDF	Polybrominated dibenzo Furan
PCDD	Polychlorodibenzodioxines
PCDF	Polychlorodibenzofurannes
PCBs	Polychlorobiphenyls
PCDD	Polychlorodibenzodioxines
PCDF	Polychlorodibenzofurans
PBDD	Polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxin

PBDF	Polybrominated dibenzo Furan
PCE	Perchloroethylene or tetrachloroethylene
PRB	Permeable Reactive Barrier
PVC	PolyVinyl Chloride
POPs	Persistent organic pollutant
RoC	Radius Of Capture
RoI	Radius Of Influence
RZ	Reactive Zone
STA	Stream Air Injection
SVE	Soil Vapour Extraction
TCE	Trichloroethylene
ТСН	Thermal conductive heating
ТРН	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon
VBT	Vertical barrier technologies
VFD	Variable Frequecy Drives
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds
ZVI	Zaro Valent Iron
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance

# 5 Overview of the document

# 5.1 Structure of the document

The structure of this document is shown in <u>Figure 1</u>.

	Clause 5.1 – Structure of the document
Clause 5 – Overview of the document	5.2 Generic concepts associated with remediation
Clause 6 – Principles for carrying out a feasible remediation options	6.1 Principles for option appraisal of feasible remediation
appraisal prior to implementing remediating strategy	6.2 Best practice in carrying out an option appraisal
Clause 7 Concris recommendations	7.1 General
for selection and effective implementation of remediation	7.1 Generic operation windows
techniques	7.2 Laboratory testing and pilot tests
Clause 8 – Generic recommendations	8.1 general
for managing hazards and risks during remediation	8.2 Risk management process
Clause 9 – Remediation techniques description	9.1 to 9.21

### Figure 1 — Content of present document and interactions between the descriptive clauses

The remediation techniques that are presented under <u>clause 9</u> are detailed under <u>Table 1</u>.

<u>9.1</u>	In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)
<u>9.2</u>	In-Situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR)
<u>9.3</u>	Enhanced In-Situ Bioremediation (EISB)
<u>9.4</u>	Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)
<u>9.5</u>	In-Situ Thermal Remediation (ISTR)
<u>9.6</u>	On-site Thermal desorption
<u>9.7</u>	Soil Vapour Extraction (SVE)
<u>9.8</u>	Air sparging
<u>9.9</u>	Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE)
<u>9.10</u>	Dual Pump Liquid Extraction (DPLE)
<u>9.11</u>	Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation
<u>9.12</u>	Soil washing (ex-situ)
<u>9.13</u>	Biopiling
<u>9.14</u>	Landfarming
<u>9.15</u>	Incineration
<u>9.16</u>	Vertical Barrier Technologies (VBT)
<u>9.17</u>	Cover systems
<u>9.18</u>	Permeable reactive barrier (PRB) systems
<u>9.19</u>	Immobilisation techniques for soil and solid materials
<u>9.20</u>	Excavation
<u>9.21</u>	Off-Gas treatment technologies and wastewater treatment technologies

### Table 1 — Remediation techniques presented in the standard

Information on each technique is presented under <u>clause 9</u> with the following headings.

- 1. Remediation principle
- 2. Scope and applicability of the technique (i.e. operating window)
- 3. Technology description (i.e. how is the technology realized/implemented)
- 4. Design considerations and dimensioning
- 5. Key monitoring parameters
- 6. Advantages and limitations
- 7. Specific environmental, health and safety (EHS) aspects
- 8. Possible other remediation/containment techniques to be combined

The principles on carrying on an option appraisal are described under <u>clause 6</u>. Generic recommendations for selecting remediation techniques within an option appraisal process are provided under <u>clause 7</u>. Generic information on hazards and risks prevention to consider when implementing a remediation strategy on a contaminated site are presented under <u>clause 8</u>. Specific description and recommendations for a range of individual techniques that can be considered in an option appraisal are described under <u>clause 9</u>. The possible combination of techniques and supporting techniques are also described under this clause. All techniques are illustrated in <u>Annex D</u>.

NOTE 1 <u>Clause 7</u> needs to be read prior using information from <u>clause 9</u>.

#### 5.2 Generic concepts associated with remediation

A remediation strategy aims to control the unacceptable impacts and associated risks that have been established following the investigations and risk assessment (including cases where the elimination of concentrated contamination is technically complex and financially disproportionate). This strategy relies on the conceptual site model (CSM) that should be developed according to ISO 21365 standard<sup>[1]</sup>.

In the context of a point source contamination, the remediation strategy usually focuses, as a priority, on identifying the source of contamination and removing it and stabilising and or confining and or limiting the spread of the contamination arising from this source. The remediation strategy can consist on using treatment techniques that individually or combined within a treatment train destroy, transform, remove or stabilize contaminants present in the environmental medium. A remediation strategy can also help to manage diffuse contamination.

The production of a remediation strategy relies among other things on an options appraisal. The option appraisal allows to decide which possible remediation options to go for, for any particular contamination linkage, in order to address the unacceptable risks. A combination of several techniques can improve the efficiency of a remediation strategy. In such cases, techniques can be combined as part of "treatment train" are an option to consider in the apaisal. "Supporting techniques" (whether chemical and/or physical) can also be used to improve the effectiveness of extraction techniques. This is the case for example for the thermal enhanced Soil Vapour Extraction technique.

Remediation should not introduce significant new risks and should be effective sustainable over the period in which the contamination risks need to be managed. Sustainable remediation encourages on considering the reuse of a site from the beginning of a remediation project to exploit synergies of the redevelopment process while minimizing costs and environmental impacts associated with bringing sites back to beneficial use according to relying amongst other things on sustainable remediation principles outlined by ISO 18504 standard<sup>[2]</sup>.

Remediation techniques can be categorised in many ways, e.g. according to their treatment location, the nature of the process on which the treatment rely (physical, thermal, chemical, biological, etc.), the nature of contaminant being treated or the matrix being targeted.

### ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

If categorised according to the remediation location, there can be the following distinctions amongst treatment techniques that can be chosen for a remediation strategy (<u>Figure 2</u>):

- All "in-situ treatment techniques" that can be applied within the ground and contaminants or "physical engineering based techniques" such as containment or hydraulic control techniques. These techniques treat contaminants directly in or from the ground or remove the contaminant from the environmental medium matrix (without post treatment). For the in-situ treatment techniques, the treatment installation is built on-site and the treatment of the contaminants is applied directly to the ground. They can be referred to as "in situ remediation techniques". They are applied to the medium and contaminants within the site's boundary.
- All "Ex-situ treatment techniques" that can be applied to the extracted environmental medium (medium and or contaminant to be treated). "Physical engineering based techniques" such as extraction are a prerequisite before applying the ex-situ treatment. The treatment installation is built on site and the treatment occurs above ground. If the treatment occurs on site, they are referred to as "on-site techniques" (e.g. the soil is excavated or the groundwater is pumped, then treatments occur in the mobile units set up within the site premises). If the treatment occurs off-site; they are referred to as "off-site techniques" (e.g. contaminated soils or groundwater are excavated and delivered to a regular and authorized units).

NOTE 1 Off-site techniques are not covered by this document. They rely on off-site technologies which can be considered as "waste treatment technologies", with also very specific local/national requirements regarding treatment targets and possibility in the reuse of the treated soil.

Treatment techniques can also be categorised according to whether they rely on transformation or destruction of contamination, whether in-situ or ex-situ (see <u>Annex B</u>).





Figure 2 — Schematic representation of possible treatment techniques

NOTE 2 Only on site and in-situ techniques are covered under this standard as shown in the highlighted box.

# 6 Principles for carrying out a feasible remediation options appraisal prior to implementing remediating strategy

#### 6.1 Principles for option appraisal of feasible remediation

In the context of contaminated land, if following a risk assessment further action is required, i.e. unacceptable risks have been identified that need to be managed, the remediation options appraisal takes place (see Figure 3) Its main objective is to help choice of a single or combined set of remediation techniques that are feasible, appropriate and proportionate to the technical and financial challenges.

There are three main stages in the options appraisal process:

- 1. Identifying technically feasible remediation options for each relevant contaminant linkage that has been shown by the risk assessment to represent unacceptable risks;
- 2. Carrying out a detailed evaluation of technically feasible remediation options to identify the most appropriate option for any particular linkage.

Producing a remediation strategy that addresses all relevant contaminant linkages and sufficient risk reduction, where appropriate by combining remediation options



Figure 3 — Process from risk assessment via options appraisal to remediation strategy (Source: adapted from former guidance CRL11[<u>3</u>])

NOTE 1 A remediation strategy may be put in place for dealing with unacceptable risks with regards to human health, and also with regards to the environment and resources, internal procedures, etc.).

The options appraisal exercise focuses primarily on those contaminant linkages (source- pathwayreceptors linkages) that have been shown by the risk assessment to represent unacceptable risks and consequently a decision made to undertake remediation. The overall options appraisal approach is to establish, taking all the circumstances of the site and its surroundings into account, which options (either singly, or in combination or as a succession of techniques over time) offer the best overall approach to remediation for the site as a whole. The options appraisal is finalised with the development of a remediation strategy prior its implementation. During the implementation of the remediation strategy, verification of the efficiency of the planned strategy has to be conducted to ensure that the remediation objectives are reached (e.g. using risk assessments to check acceptability of planned residual concentrations).

NOTE 2 This standard only focuses on providing generic guidance on the overall options appraisal approach.

In practice, there could be a number of a ways to reduce or control unacceptable risks, all of which have advantages and limitations in any particular case. The overview of the characteristics of well-known remediation techniques provided in this document, in particular with regards to which contaminants and to the context in which they can be applied, can support all three steps of the remediation options appraisal. In particular, it should in part support the assessment of which options, either singly or in combination or as a succession, offer the best overall approach to achieve remediation objectives for the site as a whole, taking into account various parameters. Remediation treatment trains can also be employed to combine the most effective aspects of multiple technologies and/or products in a manner that overcomes the limitations of each.

#### 6.2 Best practice in carrying out an options appraisal

#### 6.2.1 General

When a decision has been made to remediate, option appraisal becomes necessary. The contaminant linkages identified through risk assessment now become "relevant contaminant linkages". They represent the unacceptable risks to the identified receptors. Only a competent person should carry out an options appraisal. At the end of an options appraisal phase, an options appraisal report should be produced. Sustainability should be a driving issue in options evaluation and strategy development.

#### 6.2.2 Identifying feasible remediation options

This first stage relies on identifying and producing a shortlist of feasible remediation options. It consists of a first selection of remediation options by screening all possible techniques that are relevant to the contaminant linkages and site's context. Remediation techniques can be selected depending on the environmental medium that is being impacted by contamination and depending on the contaminants involved (whether organic or inorganic). The remediation strategy objectives can be considered as criteria to help in this selection, i.e.:

- need for protection measures and cut-off of the contaminant pathways;
- need to remove or destroy or transform the targeted contaminants within the source of contamination;
- need to treat groundwater (on site), and
- need to treat off-gases (on site).

Overall, the choice of feasible remediation options has to consider the following criteria as a prerequisite (see <u>Annex A</u> listing of potential feasible remediation options):

- Can the treatment technique treat the contaminant type being considered? (see <u>Annex B</u>);
- Can the treatment technique target the environmental medium (or matrix) that needs to be treated e.g. soil, groundwater, soil/solid matrix, soil gas matrix, etc.);
- Can the treatment technique be compatible with the site's condition (access, topography, etc.);
- Can the technique implementation allow the time necessary for the remediation objectives (e.g. expected abatement of contamination in the medium);
- Can the expected order of magnitude of the required abatement be achieved by the technique?
- Would the implementation of the treatment technique achieve the remediation objectives (i;e. in terms of expected abatement of contamination in the medium);
- Would the technique need to be combined with other techniques (e.g. as part of a treatment train) in order to achieve the remediation objectives?

In some cases, the nature of the remediation can also be a criterion to consider (physical, chemical, biological). In addition, the "remediation techniques" versus "contaminants that can be treated or targeted" matrix can be used to help in the selection of the most relevant techniques at this stage (see <u>Annex B</u>). The site information must be up to date for this exercise. However, such a supporting matrix cannot replace specific studies required to obtain the fully characterise the site.

NOTE 1 Some countries have developed publicly available on-line tools which are similar to the concepts provided under <u>Annex A</u>, to help in this selection.

NOTE 2 Objectives and possible responses to any regulatory controls that could be needed when remediation starts need to be identified at this stage.

#### 6.2.3 Detailed evaluation of options appraisal

This second stage comprises evaluating the options chosen at the previous stage and deciding which are the most suitable for dealing with each relevant contaminant linkage in the shorter- and longer-term.

The evaluation required is a comparative assessment of the different possible remediation options considering the advantages, disadvantages and costs associated with each option. Criteria are needed to conduct this evaluation and then to make the comparison. A holistic set of criteria, should be agreed by stakeholders early in the process to reflect all three dimensions of sustainable remediation:

## ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

environment, society and economy (see ISO 18504). Technical, normative and organizational criteria and legal and regulatory criteria can also be considered (<u>Table 1</u>).

A remediation technique that appears to be the most appropriate solution for a given contaminant linkage, could also have positive and negative effects on the environment or society beyond the site being considered. Taking these effects into account leads to the need to include sustainability criteria in the choice of the most appropriate remediation techniques for a given site. When a sustainable approach is followed, it can help to ensure that the benefit of doing the remediation is greater than its impact.

The option appraisal should enable the identification of the optimal economical and sustainable option, from a range of available options. It should enable practitioners to weigh the economic and sustainability impacts of each of a range of remediation options, to guide decision-makers in identifying and selecting the option that best addresses the remedial objectives.<sup>[4]</sup> When aiming at addressing sustainable remediation, the relative ability of each option to achieve the remedial objectives in a safe and timely manner should be considered whilst optimising the environmental, social and economic value of the work<sup>[3]</sup>.

ISO 18504 highlights different possible approaches for a detailed evaluation of options. These approaches can be based on a qualitative analysis (e.g. narrative analysis, non-parametric prioritization), semiquantitative (e.g. multi-criteria analysis, pairwise comparison), or quantitative (e.g. cost benefit analysis, Life Cycle Assessment, (environmental) footprint assessment, cost-effectiveness analysis). However, the evaluation approach to be followed should be adapted on a case-by-case basis<sup>[3]</sup>.

A minimum of two option should be reviewed at this stage. The detailed evaluation stage makes it possible to initiate a comparative discussion of the selected remediation options and, if necessary, to provide recommendations for tests and complementary studies if needed<sup>[3]</sup>.

In practice, the detailed evaluation of options usually consists of a cost benefit analysis. This type of evaluation can result in a summary table presenting the evaluation of the different remediation options for each of the chosen criteria. Multi-criteria analysis tools can be used to support this assessment. Five main families of criteria can be considered in accordance ISO standard 18504<sup>[3]</sup>.

NOTE A plan for restoration of soil health and related soil ecological functions could also be needed (depending on remediation technique and planned land use). The soil should after remediation be in better health than before and this does not only include removal of contaminants. This aspect should be considered when remediation techniques are evaluated in the appraisal.

1. Family of impact criteria	2. Example of impact criteria
Technical, normative and	<ul> <li>Nature of contaminant</li> </ul>
organizational criteria	<ul> <li>Impacted environmental media or matrix</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Depth of the contamination below ground level</li> </ul>
Economic criteria	<ul> <li>Direct and indirect costs of remediation actions (including any restrictions of use that would be required, necessary mitigation measures and their possible maintenance)</li> </ul>
Environmental criteria	<ul> <li>Overall impact on all ecosystems, including impact on atmosphere, indoor air, soil health and related ecological soil functions, geotechnical properties, groundwater, surface water</li> </ul>
	— Energy consumption
	<ul> <li>Reduction of the mass of contaminant</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Waste production and waste reuse</li> </ul>
	— Contribution to circular land use and construction process

# Table 2 — Possible criteria to consider in a detailed evaluation assessment (Source: adapted from ADEME UPDS 2017<sup>[5]</sup> and ISO 18504<sup>[3]</sup>)

1. Family of impact criteria	2. Example of impact criteria			
Socio-political and Health/ Safety criteria	Human health and safety (Nuisances from the construction site (visual, noise, olfactory) (see <u>clause 8</u> )			
	<ul> <li>Increase in traffic (also in relation to the above environmental criteria)</li> </ul>			
	— Ethics and equality			
	<ul> <li>Communities and community involvement: acceptability of the proposed redevelopment project that is associated with the remediation (expected future use, restrictions of use, rehabilitation objectives and resulting residual contamination)</li> </ul>			
	— Uncertainty and evidence			
Legal and regulatory criteria	— Residual constraints			
	<ul> <li>Required subsequent monitoring</li> </ul>			
	— Waste management			

Table 2 (continued)

#### 6.2.4 Selecting the final remediation option

This stage leads to selection of the final remediation option. In some cases, selecting only one option might not be practical or sufficient. There could be more than one or different type of relevant contaminant linkages. The final selected option could comprise a single technique, or a combination of remediation techniques (which could be process-based techniques or engineering-based techniques), together with any necessary protective measures, and any restriction for specific uses within the site.

These are taken forward to the remediation strategy that is to be developed. When looking at an option comprising combined techniques, consideration should be given to how this would work in practice. If options cannot be identified, then the whole process has to be started again and reassessment takes place. It is a theoretical approach; choices must be validated by conducting treatability and feasibility tests in the laboratory, for complex situations with strong-stakes.

# 7 Generic recommendations for selection and effective implementation of remediation techniques

#### 7.1 General

The recommendations that follow are provided to aid the options appraisal and development of the remediation strategy, in terms of factors that might need to be taken into account (key aspects on design/dimensioning, key aspects monitoring, and advantages and limits).

Data collection and proper assessment of the contextual information (situations, site, techniques) are required to support any remedy selection and design.

It is important to recognise that:

- 1) All situations are unique for example, it could be a crisis, a heated political climate or due diligence intervention where the timeline must be short regardless of costs or aggressiveness of the technique, or it could be a more peaceful environment where a full remediation train with trial and error can be carefully designed.
- 2) All sites have specific set ups, hydrogeological profile, and contaminant profiles that limit options regarding what can be done to remediate.

3) All techniques have their own critical features such as treatable contaminants, timeline, and efficiency, to be matched with remediation objectives.

Matching situations, sites and techniques is a complex task: remediation becomes then a technological art and as well as science and requires application of varied expertise and experience.

#### 7.2 Generic operation windows

#### 7.2.1 Considering the site's context

Only the technique or combination of techniques are to be kept:

- that could reach the remediation objectives, i.e. with the correct likely effectiveness, are to be kept (this is a very strong constraint);
- with the correct timeline to reach remediation objectives when required (each technique has its specific timeline for its operation, be it weeks to months to years to decades).

In-situ and ex-situ techniques could be sorted accordingly. Also the more urgent the situation, the more aggressive and expensive the technique could be.

Different approaches are possible to define remediation objectives, to appreciate the performance of the technique and the achievement of in-situ remediation actions:

- 1) Compliance with risk based remedial levels and/or regulatory value for groundwater, drinking water and/or ambient air, and/or;
- 2) the asymptotic decay of extracted concentrations /mass fluxes and the economical limits of the technique (cost per mass unit extracted)- possible rebound effects must be taken into account in the remediation achievement process before the definitive closure of the remediation works.

The performance of remediation techniques varies greatly with order of magnitude reductions covering between 1 and 4 decades (from low to very high). The risks of under or oversizing the remediation strategy and to not reach the remediation objectives in the targeted time frame are high.

NOTE 1 For example a groundwater contaminated with 1500  $\mu$ g/L pollutant and a remediation objectives of 10  $\mu$ g/L, the order of magnitude reductions is then three (3). In such situations, only very high efficiency technique could be tested as in-situ thermic desorption in one year or bioremediation in three years.

One can be reluctant to apply a chemical process (not "green"). Social and economic criteria (site reputation, residual concentration) could also interfere with a purely mathematical timeframe and efficiency compatible technique. Thus, subjective or imperative interests should be collected and must be accounted for as such in the choice of remediation technique.

Also, the remediation does not occur in a vacuum. Some sites already have wells or systems installed for protection measures and cut-off of contaminant migration pathways. Techniques that could use the existing network of wells or site process facilities (e.g. some have water treatment plants) are at an advantage.

NOTE 2 The importance of understanding the geochemistry at the site should be further stressed. Especially important for designing metal remediation such as ISCR and for understanding the long-term stability of precipitates and adsorbed species For example, long-term stability of metal precipitates depends on whether they are stable in relation to the surrounding environment (long term pH and redox in groundwater zone will probably be determined by pH in incoming groundwater and redox). It is also important that the feasibility and treatability studies in the laboratory are performed at conditions (e.g. pH, redox) close to those at the site.

#### 7.2.2 Remedition set up on-site

The site must provide the needed space for the technique to access the contamination. This is a key criterion which can help choice of the most relevant techniques based on their needed space for operation. For example, if a building with underground car park is to be constructed in contaminated

ground, the only feasible option is likely to be excavation with off-site treatment. For example, choosing an injection technique using direct-push to install wells would need a fine network of wells covering the whole groundwater plume. Such a set up could be unrealistic if the site is covered by buildings or located in a town centre. Only a small number of recirculation wells with a chemical or biological technique could be used.

Application of a remediation technique needing nearby facilities such as landfills or activated carbon regeneration facility, is likely to be difficult at sites far from major urban and industrial centres. For example, on remote sites, gas treatment must be oversized (with an on-site oxycatalyser) if there is no possibility of landfilling or regenerating the granulated activated carbon (GAC).

Various data and information is required to support any remedy selection and design. It is necessary to identify the source of contamination (and targetable contaminants), the environmental media impacted by contaminants, the geological and hydrogeological settings, and the remediation objectives in order to dimension most remediation techniques and to decide whether they will be effective.

A remediation action is generally planned for removal or destruction or transformation of targeted contaminant within the source of contamination. Thus, characterization of the source is paramount. This characterization should aim at defining:

- 1) the (hydro)geological setting;
- 2) the mass and concentrations distribution (in 3 dimensions) of contamination being considered within the site (i.e. the amount of each contaminant present within the area to be targeted);
- 3) the type and nature of contaminant, and depending on the technology foreseen;
- 4) the in-situ (bio)geochemical conditions.

#### 7.2.3 Techniques prerequistes

Each technique has constraints in terms of depth of action, soil permeability, groundwater etc. so it is possible to eliminate techniques that do not meet the hydrogeological and source criteria of the site. For example, the MPE 'stinger' technique is limited by the ability to entrain liquids and is unsuitable for treatment of groundwater at depth. Biological treatments may also be pH constrained.

All the technique prerequisites must be identified and it just a matter then of choosing between techniques. Each successive component of a remediation train also has prerequisites which must be accounted for. For example, sparging operation will provide oxygen to the groundwater and this cannot be done if enhanced anaerobic groundwater treatment is going on. To conduct in-situ remediation operations both process engineering skills and expertise in (hydro)geology are required.

When on-site operations are conducted, contaminant extraction rates depend on local (hydro)geological settings, the properties of the substances and processing capacity. The choice and the design of in-situ and on-site remediation technologies often require preliminary laboratory testing (treatability and feasibility tests) and, in some case field pilot testing. This is the case for example for In Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO).

#### 7.2.4 Techniques "Collateral effects"

The effect of different remediation methods on soil health including soil functions is to be noted. For example, techniques such as pump-and-treat do not affect life in topsoil very much while techniques such as ISCO, in situ thermal remediation (ISTR) or in-situ immobilisation techniques can destroy natural organic matter or alter life in the soil material. Also, Ozone Injection that can be used during Enhanced In-situ Bioremediation (EISB) has a potentially toxic effect on subsurface microbial populations.

#### 7.3 Laboratory testing and pilot tests

The choice and the design of in-situ and on-site remediation technologies usually require preliminary laboratory testing (treatability and feasibility tests) and, frequently field pilot testing. The evaluation of the technique feasibility can consist on the following tests:

- orientation tests which aim to validate the possibility of implementing a specific remediation technique;
- performance evaluation tests, which are used to verify that the objectives can be achieved and to
  estimate the speed of the planned treatment and its duration.

Dimensioning a remediation technique relies on engineering skills. It comes after the feasibility evaluation.

Considering the likely effectiveness of a remediation technique is important at the options appraisal stage. This is especially the case for containment systems. When evaluating the technique effectiveness (both short term and long term) it is important to know:

- to what extent will the remediation technique be effective at the time of installation?
- how long after installation will the full effect be realized?
- will effectiveness decrease with time?

This last question is the fundamental one in relation to long-term effectiveness and it has no general answer. It can only be answered in relation to a specific remedial action designed to take account of conditions present at the contaminated site and the design objectives that have been set. A comprehensive remediation Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) could be realized if needed<sup>[6]</sup>.

#### 8 Generic recommendations for managing hazards and risks during remediation

#### 8.1 General

During the remediation and management of a contaminated site, the protection of the health and safety of all people working at, or otherwise involved with, the site is paramount. This includes workers at the site, visitors to the site and third parties such as users of adjoining land, residents, passers-by and sight-seers.

Remediation of contamination presents risks to health and safety that are unique, and which go beyond those associated with a standard construction site. Many of the hazards will be known and predictable, but some will be unknown. Health and safety systems must be designed to handle both situations. Hazards can be distinguished by whether they are related to contaminants already presents prior to remediation within the environmental media, or hazards related to the remediation operations once they have started. Materials that can present a physical, chemical and/or biological risk could be in solid, liquid, gaseous or dust form. They can be in the soil or groundwater. General hazards could include fires, explosions, confined spaces, underground and above-ground services (e.g. gas lines and electricity), plant, manual handling and slips, trips and falls.<sup>[7]</sup> Following the identification of these hazards and the assessment of associated risks, measures can be considered (see examples in <u>Annex C</u>). As hazards associated with the implementation of remedial actions vary significantly between technologies, health and safety hazards that are specific to the implementation of a particular remediation technique, are listed in a specific sub-clause on health and safety in the relevant sub-clause in <u>Clause 9</u>. All parties should work together to provide a sound management of hazard and risk in arising from application of remediation techniques.

#### 8.2 Risk management process

#### 8.2.1 General

A risk management process provides a framework to assist in decision-making relating to all aspects of work health and safety. Risk management is a process that can involve four steps: 1) identify hazards, 2) assess risks, 3) control risks, and 4) review measures<sup>[7]</sup>.

A remedial health and safety plan should be prepared on every site where remediation is being undertaken. It describes in particular, tasks designed to minimize or eliminate hazards for the staff performing field activities (incidents and injuries with work), equipment, the environment, and the general public. Stakeholders will always refer to this document, along with recording of risk assessments, reporting incidents and regular reviews of measures put in place.

In most jurisdictions there will be regulations and authoritative guidance concerning the hazards listed in 8.2.3 to 8.2.21that apply in all work situations.

NOTE 1 A risk assessment for health and safety hazards can be conducted using a risk matrix, which assesses the severity of the risk against the likelihood of exposure to the hazard. Each activity has a separate row, and scores (for example see <u>Table 3</u>) are given for risk both before and after measures. If risk cannot be reduced below a certain threshold even with measures the activity is deemed too risky, and it must be eliminated by finding a different way of working.

Using a matrix can be very helpful for prioritizing actions. It is suitable for very many assessments but particularly lends itself to more complex situations. However, it requires a fair degree of expertise and experience to judge the likelihood of harm accurately. Getting this wrong could result in applying unnecessary controls or failing to take important ones<sup>[8]</sup>.

Potential severity of harm					
		Slightly harmful	Harmful	Extremely harmful	
Likelihood of harm occurring		2	2	3	
	Highly unlikely	Trivial	Tolerable	Moderate	
	1	1	2	3	
	Unlikely	Tolerable	Moderate	Substantial	
	2	2	4	6	
	Likely	Moderate	Substantial	Intolerable	
	3	3	6	9	

Table 3 — Risk matrix for prioritizion of actions (source ISO 18400-301<sup>[8]</sup>)

NOTE 2 For further information on this process when applied to site investigation see ISO 18400-301<sup>[8]</sup>.

#### 8.2.2 Hazards and controls associated with contaminated soil and groundwater

People can be exposed to chemical contaminants through inhalation (particles, dust, fibres or fumes and vapours), ingestion (soil particles, dust, groundwater, contaminated food or water) or skin and/or eyes absorption.

Site conditions should be considered when assessing the hazards and risks associated with contaminated soils and ground water, including the toxicity of contaminants, the protective equipment required and the various remediation measures.

#### 8.2.3 Asbestos

Asbestos can be present at a contaminated site as bonded material such as asbestos-containing cement sheeting or linoleum, as friable fibrous lagging such as on pipe work and boilers, or as fibres within the soil. It is frequently present in anthropogenic materials such as fill and made ground. According to the

legislation of each country, the safety measures range from simple access restriction to the operation of a vcontrolled atmosphere tent for the removal of asbestos fibres. Appropriate measures should be described in the remediation plan.

#### 8.2.4 Dust

Weather conditions and remediation activities can generate dust. This can lead to concerns about potential health impacts for workers as well as for people in the surrounding community.

Site conditions should be considered when assessing the hazards and risks associated with dust, including for example likely sources of dust generation, distance to nearest sensitive receptors, potential toxicity of the dust (e.g. silica, asbestos, and characteristics of the chemical substances within particulate matter), and remediation mitigation measures such as water sprinklers. Timing of the remediation to a rainy season is likely to minimise dust exposure because of higher soil moisture content, etc.

NOTE Stockpiles can represent a considerable source of dust, due to their height, uncompacted nature, and (frequently) proximity to sensitive receptors.

#### 8.2.5 Offensive or noxious odours

The release to the air of many chemical substances, particularly those associated with petroleum hydrocarbons, gasworks wastes, organic solvents or putrescible wastes, can generate offensive odours or noxious vapours. These can cause varying types and degrees of hazard, such as explosive conditions, toxic environments, unacceptable health risks (either acute or chronic), and objectionable odours. Odours can also cause community concern as the public might perceive odours as posing a poisoning issue.

When dealing with volatile pollutants, an assessment should be made of the need for the regular analysis of atmospheric levels of pollutants (e.g. hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, sulfur dioxide, ethyl and methyl mercaptants, VOCs...) on site and at site boundaries to ensure that workers and residents are not being exposed to unacceptable levels that could give rise to adverse health effects.

#### 8.2.6 Contaminated Waste

The remediation of a contaminated site will very often generate waste that contains residual contaminants (e.g. excavated contaminated soil or pumped groundwater, used PPE, single-use equipment such as tarpaulins that covered stockpiles, or building rubble).

Site conditions should be considered when assessing the hazards and risks associated with contaminated waste, including the toxicity of contaminants, life cycle of contaminants, and life cycle of the waste product.

#### 8.2.7 Remediation equipment

Some remediation equipment is highly specialised and carries various hazards during operation (hot surface, pressurised pipes, electric shocks, handling of toxic injection chemical...). Typically, these systems will be managed and overseen by a specialist contractor who is familiar with the operation, potential hazards and appropriate controls. That contractor should have health and safety information pertinent to that equipment which should be included within the site health and safety plan. This information should include risk assessments and hazards and operability tudies for process based equipment.

Common controls include preventing unauthorised people from being exposed to the hazard (e.g. by using physical barriers), and requiring training prior to operation

NOTE More information on specific hazards posed by remediation equipment should be obtained from the remediation equipment manufacturer.

#### 8.2.8 Unexpected finds

In addition to the contamination known to be on the site, remediation works could uncover areas previously not identified as contaminated, referred to as "an unexpected find". These could be new areas of contamination similar in nature to that already at the site, a new type of contamination not previously identified at the site or other hazards including UXO. Unexpected finds are special cases that need to be addressed on a case by case basis, commencing with stopping work in the area and investigating the issue. Once the nature and extent of the unexpected find has been determined, the health and safety plan for the site should be updated.

The health and safety plan should have a procedure for handling unexpected finds.

#### 8.2.9 Long-term monitoring

In some instances, monitoring of a site long-term is necessary as part of remediation, or validation, or both. The development of long-term monitoring program must include the risk management process to identify the hazards and assess and control the risks for workers carrying out the monitoring as well as members of the public who could follow them or watch them.

#### 8.2.10 Outdoor work

Many remediation projects involve working outdoors for some or most of the working day. Site conditions should be considered when assessing the hazards and risks associated with outdoor work, including reduced capacity for maintaining personal hygiene, exposure to solar rays, exposure to cold, exposure to wet weather, and biological hazards (e.g. dogs, cattle, snakes, ticks, ...). Proper training should be given based on outdoors and survival specifics.

#### 8.2.11 Remote or isolated working

Some remediation work is carried out in places that are remote or isolated due to the location, time or nature of the work being done. In some situations, a worker could be alone for a short time (e.g. conducting regular boundary monitoring or repairing fences on a large site away from other workers). In other situations, the worker could be on their own for days or weeks in remote locations. Working alone or remotely increases the risks in any job. Proper training can be given including remote place self-medication. Specific operating procedures (registry, fixed calls), and equipment such as satellite cell phone could be necessary.

#### 8.2.12 Underground services and pipelines

One of the major hazards associated with excavation or drilling during remediation activities is potentially striking an underground service, utility or structure, including for examples utility pipelines, underground storage tanks, irrigation systems, basement voids, and mine shafts. Before commencing excavation work, all reasonable steps must be taken to obtain current underground services information that relates to the site and areas adjacent to the site. Every person carrying out the excavation must be given this information and it must be available for inspection by relevant authorities until the excavation is completed.

NOTE If any suspect or unexpected structures or damage to a service occurs the excavation should be halted. Emergency procedures should be enacted if required, such as deploying spill kits, isolating the area or removing sources of ignition. If safe, the excavation should be inspected, and the service or structure identified. The appropriate utility company or service provider must be advised as soon as possible, and the excavation must be left open, suitably fenced, with appropriate warning notices posted.

#### 8.2.13 Ground stability

The vibration from excavation and drilling, from plant, or from the movement of heavily laden trucks can sometimes result in the collapse of pits, or damage to foundations of adjacent structures or to underground services or utilities. Site conditions should be considered when assessing the hazards and risks associated with ground stability, including the current or former presence of, for example, mining

### ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

activity, cellars, underground storage tanks, sub-surface combustion, and unconsolidated soil or fill material.

#### 8.2.14 Excavations

Excavation involving the removal of soil or rock from a site to form an open face, hole, trench, cavity, or similar, using tools, machinery or explosives is very common on remediation sites.

Specific hazards can include a person falling into an excavation, a person working in an excavation being trapped by the failure of excavation wall(s) or struck by moving machinery or something falling on them, instability of an adjoining structure caused by the excavation, hazardous atmosphere in an excavation, particularly if there are volatile contaminants present, plant striking underground or overhead services during excavation (see clause xxx above).

#### 8.2.15 Unexploded ordnance (UXO)

The potential for the site to contain or been affected by unexploded ordnance should be assessed. Measures should be undertaken to mitigate risks during the site investigation that precedes remediation if determined to be necessary by a UXO risk assessment.

NOTE See for example guidance in reference [9].

#### 8.2.16 Confined space

A confined space is determined by the hazards associated with a set of specific circumstances and not just because work is performed in a remediation tent. Confined spaces are commonly found, for example, in tanks, ducts, sewers or septic tanks, deep trenches and tunnels.

The risks of working in confined spaces can include loss of consciousness, impairment, injury or death due to the immediate effects of airborne contaminants, fire or explosion from the ignition of flammable contaminants, asphyxiation resulting from oxygen deficiency or immersion and can be compounded by the difficulty rescuing and treating an injured or unconscious person.

NOTE It is preferable to alter the work such that entry into the confined space is not required. However, if this is not possible, a suitably trained person should undertake site-specific hazard identification, provide guidance on measures, and undertake the work.

#### 8.2.17 Hazardous chemicals and dangerous goods

It is common during remediation works that hazardous chemicals or dangerous goods will either be the subject of the remediation or used during the remediation process. Hazardous chemicals are those that, following worker exposure, can have an adverse effect on health.

Examples of hazardous chemicals include poisons; chemicals that can cause burns or skin and eye irritation; and chemicals that can cause cancer. Examples of hazardous chemicals or dangerous goods that might be encountered on a remediation site can include petroleum products, including residue in underground storage tanks, chlorinated hydrocarbons, chemical adhesives, solvents for cleaning or calibrating equipment, or chemicals used as additives for in-situ chemical oxidation (permanganate, ozone, other reagents).

There are two broad types of hazards associated with hazardous chemicals: 1) health hazards linked to the properties of a chemical that have the potential to cause adverse health effects, and 2) physicochemical hazards linked to physical or chemical properties of the chemical, mixture or particle that pose risks to workers other than health risks, as they do not occur because of the biological interaction of the chemical with people.

#### 8.2.18 Hazardous manual tasks

Manual work is common during remediation work, and some manual tasks can be hazardous. A hazardous manual task is any task that requires a person to lift, lower, push, pull, carry or otherwise move, hold or restrain any person, animal or thing involving one or more of repetitive or sustained force, high or sudden force, repetitive movement, sustained or awkward posture; or exposure to vibration.

Examples of hazardous manual tasks that could be encountered on a remediation site can include carrying sections of fence, lifting validation sample containers into a vehicle, installing remediation equipment, handling equipment (pipes, pumps, power generators...), refuelling reagent. Appropriate aids to lifting etc. should always be used.

#### 8.2.19 Slips, trips and falls

The nature of remediation work often creates fall hazards. Fall hazards are found where work is carried out at height, for example, loading and unloading a large truck. Falls can also occur at ground level into holes, for example trenches or service pits.

Site conditions should be considered when assessing the hazards and risks associated with outdoor work, including for example any structure or plant being constructed, demolished or dismantled, inspected, tested, repaired or cleaned, fragile surfaces, potentially unstable surfaces, using equipment to work at the elevated level, sloping or slippery surfaces where it is difficult for people to maintain their balance, holes, shafts or pits into which a worker could fall.

A checklist can be useful to identify hazards and risks relating to falls. Fencing, and appropriate safety harnesses should be employed as necessary.

#### 8.2.20 Plant and equipment

Most remediation projects involve the use of plant and equipment. There are significant risks associated with using plant and severe injuries can result from the unsafe use of plant, including limbs amputated by unguarded moving parts of machines, being crushed by mobile plant, sustaining fractures from falls while accessing, operating or maintaining plant, electric shock from plant that is not adequately protected or isolated, burns or scalds due to contact with hot surfaces, or exposure to flames or hot fluids, hearing loss due to noisy plant; or musculoskeletal disorders caused by operating plant that is poorly designed.

Examples of plant and equipment hazards that might be encountered on a remediation site can include improper use of equipment, including power tools, pedestrians being struck by vehicles moving around the site; hazards from being close to, on top of, or beneath operating plant or equipment, reversing equipment or plant, air blast from compressed air equipment, etc.

#### 8.2.21 Noise

Many remediation projects will be noisy environments for at least some of the time, particularly those involving heavy machinery or specialised remediation equipment. Noise from remediation activities can also be a nuisance to members of the public near a site. Noise that is a nuisance can occur at decibels below that which is considered unsafe.

Examples of noise hazards that could be encountered on a remediation site can include drilling rigs, heavy machinery, power tools, specialised remediation equipment, such as diesel pumps for groundwater extraction, normal site operations (e.g. if the remediation is occurring inside an operational factory).

## 9 Remediation techniques description

## 9.1 In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)

#### 9.1.1 Technique Principle

In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO) is an in-situ-technique that aims at transforming a contaminant into less mobile and/or less toxic species through chemical oxidation. It relies on the injection of a chemical oxidant (reagent) below ground surface. The oxidation state of the reagent is decreased by accepting an electron to oxidize the target species.

#### 9.1.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

ISCO may be used to remediate both unsaturated and saturated zone on a wide range of organic and inorganic compounds even at high concentrations (see <u>Annex A</u>). Applicability of ISCO depends on the nature of targeted compounds and the oxidant used. Permanganate is effective on alkanes, chlorinated ethanes, dichloroethylene isomers, vinyl chloride, phenols, and some Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH). Fenton reagent is able to treat sorbed contaminants and DNAPLs. Ozone can also rapidly attack organic contaminants and breaks down their organic carbon-to-carbon bonds. Persulfate is more stable in the subsurface than other oxidants; it can oxidize benzene (in the cases of remediation of fuels spills and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene contaminated groundwater). Homogeneous hydraulic conductivity of greater than 10<sup>-7</sup> cm/sec are recommended to ensure the effective delivery of the reagents to the zone to be treated. The natural oxidant demand (NOD) must be satisfied first by the injection of a significant amount of oxidant, then the oxidant demand of the contaminant can be satisfied

#### 9.1.3 Description of the technology

There are different methods to make the oxidant coming into contact with the contaminant (see illustrations in <u>Annex D</u>):

- 1) by using injection wells (the oxidant is injected into the soil with the use of pressure which requires vertical injection wells);
- 2) by using injection via Direct Push methods;
- 3) by using recirculation (combining direct injection or infiltration and groundwater abstraction);
- 4) by infiltrating the oxidant passively through screened vertical wells or drains in trenches;
- 5) by (deep) soil mixing (i.e. soil is mixed with the oxidant with the use of auger drills);
- 6) by carrying out ozone-sparging (i.e. the injection of ozone into the saturated zone).

#### 9.1.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The choice of oxidant depends on the environmental characteristics (geology, hydrogeology, geochemistry) as well as the characteristics of the contamination source and/or contaminant linkage being targeted by the ISCO technique (type of contaminants, distribution). Generally, the most powerful oxidants have the following characteristics: 1) half-lives and persistence time shorter, 2) radius of influence smaller, and 3) range of oxidable organic compounds larger.

Sizing and designing an ISCO treatment required laboratory tests to choose the most efficient oxidant and to optimize the formulation of the oxidant solutions. The number of injections must be determined precisely. In the same way, in-situ pilot tests are strongly recommended to confirm technical choices before full-scale operation. Hydrodispersive mathematical models can be useful to estimate the position and the dynamic of the in-situ oxidative front and to design the injection network (position, number, distance, depth and position of screens, etc.). Tracers tests (mostly bromide, uranine) provides an estimate of the radius of influence of an injection.

#### 9.1.5 Key monitoring parameters

Monitoring is required while ISCO is operated (it is conducted frequently to ensure that the technique is working as intended) but also post-treatment. To determine the effectiveness of ISCO, the following items can be monitored:

- 1) groundwater levels;
- 2) contaminant, reagent by-products concentrations evolution over time as well as redox conditions;
- 3) summary of the volume of soil/groundwater being treated;
- 4) summary of the volume of oxidant added;
- 5) summary of contaminant concentrations above/below applicable remediation targets (taking into account rebonds effects).

#### 9.1.6 Advantages and limitations

ISCO can be relatively fast to achieve its objectives. It can be at a lower cost than other remedial techniques, and can be applied on a wide range of organic, inorganic, compounds (aqueous, sorbed, and non-aqueous) even at high concentrations. ISCO does not generate large amounts of waste. This reduces contaminant exposure, time, and costs because disposal or treatment is minimal.

There are limitations for application at heavily contaminated sites. It is possible to have less oxidant or hydraulic control compared to other remedial technologies. Chemical, physical and biological properties of the soil can be altered using ISCO, which can potentially lead to the introduction of toxic compounds into ground; In such case, destruction of natural organic matter on which contaminants (especially organic) are adsorbed, oxidation of certain metals and metalloids present in a reduced precipitated state. Furthermore oxidants are not very selective and react with many oxidable species, which leads to a higher consumption of oxidants. The introduction of peroxide and permanganate can lead to the formation of particles (precipitation of manganese or iron) and reduce the permeability of the aquifer ("clogging" effect). There can be a short persistence of some oxidants due to fast reaction rates in the subsurface. The heterogeneity of hydraulic conductivity in the subsurface is a limitation factor for ISCO (see <u>clause 7</u>).

#### 9.1.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

For oxidation to occur there must be a large redox potential which generally requires special safety requirements to handle these oxidants:

- 1) oxidants must be safely handled and stored;
- 2) permanganate and persulfate dust is hazardous;
- 3) the presence of ozone increases the flammability of many materials;
- 4) the generation of ozone can involve high-voltage-equipment concerns;
- 5) there is a potential risk for uncontrolled exothermic reactions and;
- 6) there is a potential for preferential migration of oxidants and/or contaminants (liquid or vapor) through underground utilities.

# 9.1.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Sometimes, if the oxidation is not complete, the generated-products can also be more biodegradable than the initial contaminants, which allows treatment to be combined with bioremediation techniques at contaminant linkage level. Organic matter is sometimes added at the end of the treatment in order to refunctionalize the soil.

## 9.2 In-Situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR)

#### 9.2.1 Technique principle

In-Situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR) is an in-situ technique which consists of injecting a reducing agent in soils. It involves the injection of a reductant or reductant generating material in the subsurface for degrading toxic organic compounds to potentially nontoxic or less toxic compounds, immobilizing metals by adsorption or precipitation, and degrading non-metallic oxyanions such as nitrate.

#### 9.2.2 Scope and applicability of the technique

ISCR is mainly used to remediate chlorinated contaminants that could be present in the saturated zone and unsaturated zone (see <u>Annex A</u>). It may be applied in some case to inorganics. Like every other remediation using injection technique, homogeneous and permeable soil and aquifer are required for efficient operation.

#### 9.2.3 Technology description

The technique relies on using a reducing agent. The most commonly used reductant is zero valent iron. Other reductants that are used to address metals include ferrous iron, sodium dithionite, sulfide salts (calcium polysulfide), and hydrogen sulfide The introduction of substrates to microbially produce reducing conditions favourable to microbial reduction of iron and sulfates also has been used to treat dissolved metal contamination. ISCR of metals and metalloids is accomplished by a stabilization mechanism where the target subsurface area geochemistry is manipulated to bring about the direct precipitation, co-precipitation, or indirect adsorption and precipitation (e.g. adsorption to iron hydroxides) of the target chemicals. It leads to a long-term in situ sequestration of the metals.

Remediation of metals using anaerobic biostimulation does not generally involve microbial action with the metals directly, but rather some of the products of their respiration can be used for metal stabilization through direct or co-precipitation. Under strong anaerobic reducing conditions, which can be created using an electron donor and carbon source (e.g., methanol, lactate, molasses) sulfate reduction conditions can be created in an aquifer. An illustration of the technique is provided under <u>Annex D</u>.

#### 9.2.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

Hydrodispersive numerical modelisation is often required to estimate the position and the dynamic of the in situ reductive front and to design the injection wells network (position, number, interwell distance, depth and position of screens, etc.). Other key parameter to design ISCR remediation are: 1) injection settings: vertical distribution, flow and pressure of injection, duration, injection type, well size, and 2) extraction settings: well number, well size, flow and pressure rate, location of wells, water treatment unit.

#### 9.2.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectiveness of ISCR, key monitoring parameters are:

- 1) groundwater levels and groundwater flow direction;
- 2) the depth of the various structures;
- 3) the depth of injection of the reducer;
- 4) the quantity of reducer and water being used, injection flow and pressure;
- 5) contaminant concentrations and physicochemical parameters (including potential redox) of groundwater upstream, downstream and at the source and by products concentrations.

#### 9.2.6 Advantages and limitations

ISCR can be effective on a broad spectrum of organic pollutants. It relies on fast reactions. It can be applied to high concentrations of contaminants. The reduction can be complete (total mineralisation). If the reduction is not complete, the degradation by-products generated can sometimes be more biodegradable than the initial pollutants, which allows the treatment to be combined with aerobic/ anaerobic bioremediation techniques. In addition, conventional reducers are not very expensive. The process, unlike ISCO, does not destroy soil organic matter.

However, when using ISCR technology, there is possibility of contaminant transfer and reductants into groundwater. Therefore, a good understanding of the geology and hydrogeology is needed to predict the movement of oxidants and to locate recovery wells to allow full recovery of reductants if necessary. The chemical, physical and biological properties of the soil may be temprarily altered but to a much lesser extent than ISCO. If reduction is not complete, the degradation by-products generated may be more toxic than the original pollutants (e.g. vinyl chloride for perchloroethylene and trichloroethylene). Reducers are not very selective and react with many reducible species. ISCR relies sometimes of wastewater of offgas treatment.

#### 9.2.7 Specific health and safety aspects

Changes in pH and redox may mobilize contaminants other than the targeted ones. Degradation products may be more soluble than the original contaminant. If micro or nano ZVI is used there is a risk for formation of explosive gas.

#### 9.2.8 Possible other techniques that can be combined with the technique

Combining reductant techniques can often improve the efficiency of the cleanup. After the control of contamination source, ISCR is often used as a diffusion zone remediation.

#### 9.3 Enhanced in-situ bioremediation (EISB)

#### 9.3.1 Technique principle

Enhanced In-Situ Bioremediation (EISB) is an in-situ engineered technique that introduces physical, chemical, and biological changes to the soil to create the conditions necessary for microorganisms to transform contaminants of concern to innocuous byproducts. To stimulate and/or enhance microbial activity, through:

- bioaugmentation, in which microorganisms are introduced (for example genetically engineered micro-organisms); or
- biostimulation, involving targeting a specified microorganism for growth through the introduction of amendments comprising either nutrients or an electron donor.

Biodegradation of organic compounds is based on a redox reaction between an electron donor (contaminants being targeted) and an electron acceptor. Natural attenuation occurs when physical, chemical, and biological natural processes act to reduce the mass, the concentration, the toxicity and/or the mobility of subsurface contamination.

#### 9.3.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

EISB can be applied to both organic (e.g. petroleum hydrocarbons) and inorganic compounds (e.g. perchlorate) and in both the unsaturated and saturated zones (see <u>Annex A</u>). Mineralization occurs for organic compounds when all carbon to carbon bonds have been broken. Biotransformation occurs when: 1) the speciation of inorganic compounds or organic compounds changes through microbiological activity; 2) organic compounds are transformed into molecules of less molecular weight (metabolites).

#### 9.3.3 Description of technology

There are several EISB processes, each with specific design requirements:

- 1) Loop systems primarily used to clean up contaminated groundwater (contaminated groundwater from downstream is pumped downgradient from the source with wells to the surface, the abstracted groundwater is mixed with nutrients and an electron donor (commonly oxygen), and the treated water then injected up-gradient in the same aquifer;
- Oxygen Injection or Oxygen Release Compound (ORC) injection (both aerobic) through an injection gallery for the remediation of organic hydrocarbons in both saturated (e.g. ORC-Barrier) and unsaturated zone;
- 3) Ozone Injection (this strong oxidizing agent has potentially a toxic effect on subsurface microbial populations, but ozone can be an efficient means of spreading oxygen throughout a site due to its high solubility);
- 4) Accelerated Anaerobic In-situ Bioremediation (electron donors and acceptors are introduced into a contaminated site in order to increase the population of anaerobic microorganisms thereby increasing the natural ability of micro-organisms to degrade the contaminant).

The costs of EISB techniques vary widely depending upon the type and degree of enhancement needed as well other environmental factors. An illustration of the technique is provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

#### 9.3.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The biodegradation of compounds using micro-organisms relies on the type and concentration of the targeted contaminants, electron acceptor and time period for which micro-organisms are exposed to contamination. The main environmental factors to take into account to assess whether or not biotransformation takes place and used to dimension EISB operations are:

- 1) pH, concentration, temperature and nutrient availability;
- 2) time and groundwater flow evolution;
- 3) dispersal? of electron acceptors and nutrients for microbial growth;
- 4) presence or not of metals such as mercury, lead and cyanide at toxic concentration, and the presence of toxic compounds that could hinder microbial growth during bioremediation.

#### 9.3.5 Key monitoring parameters

The effectiveness of EISB should be continually monitored by analyzing the fate of targeted contaminants and other reactants and products (metabolites) indicative of biodegradation (like oxygen,  $Mn^{2+}/Mn^{4+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $NH_4^+/NO_3^-$ , Cl, biogases ( $CO_2$ ,  $H_2S$ ,  $CH_4$ )).

#### 9.3.6 Advantages and limitations

EISB techniques can be applied to treat compounds sorbed to the aquifer materials or trapped in pore spaces. The contaminated zone targeted by the treatment can usually be larger compared other remedial techniques because the treatment can reach areas inaccessible otherwise. The increase of the micro-organisms population present in pore spaces reduces the permeability of the soil and the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer, and therefore reduces the rate of migration of the compounds.

EISB have some limitations: the degree of cleanup achievable, the time frame necessary to reach remediation goals, in some case the potential production of toxic byproducts, the presence of inhibitory substances and/or toxic (too high) concentration of the compound in concern, and the difficulty in utilisation of additives such as nutrients, surfactants and oxygen.
### 9.3.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

ORCs have to be handled with care. Biogases (such as methane, carbon dioxide or hydrogen sulfide) have to be monitored to prevent their unacceptable intrusion into ambient air or sensitive locations (e.g. service conduits).

# 9.3.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

A wide range of in-situ physical and chemical techniques can be combined with in-situ bioremediation techniques e.g. bio-venting, bio-sparging (see <u>clause 9.7</u>). EISB is often operated on contaminated sites in a second stage, when physico-chemical technologies have reached their technico-economical limits.

# 9.4 Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

#### 9.4.1 Technique principle

Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) is an in-situ ttreatment which involves long term sampling and analysis of soil, groundwater and/or ground gas to demonstrate that natural physical, chemical and biological processes are adequately managing risks to specific environmental or groundwater receptors. MNA occurs when mass, concentration, mobility and/or toxicity of pollutant are reduced under natural bio- and/or physical- chemical conditions.

#### 9.4.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

MNA is applicable for all (bio) degradable contaminants especially dissolved hydrocarbons and light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL) (see <u>Annex A</u>). When dealing with light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL), the technique is referred to as Natural Source Zone Depletion (NSZD). MNA is potentially applicable for dissolved phase halogenated hydrocarbons. Toxicity and/or mobility of some metals may be also reduced under natural condition.

#### 9.4.3 Description of technology

Natural attenuation occurs via three process:

- physical processes include dissolution, dispersion and sorption;
- chemical processes include abiotic oxidation or reduction of contaminants;
- biological processes include aerobic and anaerobic degradation of hydrocarbons and direct or cometabolic degradation of halogenated hydrocarbons.

#### 9.4.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The principle design consideration is the location, depth and response zone construction of the monitoring wells. These need to be downgradient of the primary or secondary source zone and some need to be offset to allow dispersion to be detected. All illustration of possible design considerations is provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

Studies have shown that hydrocarbon plumes are typically a few hundred metres long but can rarely be much longer, while halogenated hydrocarbon plumes can be between 1,5 and 2 km long. The length of dissolved phase plumes of conservative inorganic ionic (e.g. bromide) is defined either by the detection limit or a relevant water quality standard (such as a drinking water standard).

#### 9.4.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectivness of MNA, the key parameters are up gradient and down gradient concentrations of contaminants, electron donors/acceptors as appropriate, daughter products. If

anaerobic degradation occurs, new toxic compounds could be produced that could ruin MNA objectives or pose health hazard. As an exemple, the anaerobic degradation of petrolueum products produce hydrogen sulphur ( $H_2S$ ), a very toxic gas.

### 9.4.6 Advantages and limitations

MNA is low intensity, exploits natural processes and therefore has a short term relatively low cost and low carbon footprint. When the MNA runs for decade, a careful life cycle analysis shows that the cumuled carbon footprint could became as high as if the site was remediated.

MNA has some limitations and disadvantages. It requires a robust conceptual site model of hydrogeological, geochemical and microbiological conditions. Detailed site characterization needed to prove that NA occurs (reduction of concentration due du mass reduction and not dispersion). It can require protracted time scales. It does not protect human health. It assumes relatively stable groundwater flow directions, else monitoring wells will be needed in new locations. There can be some reserve with the socio-political acceptance (MNA seen as "Do Nothing").

#### 9.4.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

No specific Environemental Health and Security aspects are entailed beyond those involved in site investigation and sampling and any site specific issues (e.g. remoteness, lone working, or avoiding operational hazards).

# 9.4.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

MNA can follow on from more intensive techniques such as ISCO (9.1) or enhanced bioremediation (9.3) and be the last component of a remediation train.

# 9.5 In-Situ Thermal Remediation (ISTR)

#### 9.5.1 Technique principle

In-Situ thermal remediation (ISTR) (also called In Situ Thermal Desorption -ISTD) involves raising the temperature of the subsurface treatment zone, thus increasing the vapour pressure, solubility, and diffusion rates of contaminants while decreasing their viscosity, to drive the targeted contaminants to a location subsurface where collection of vapour or soil gas can occur for above ground treatment. The unsaturated zone or saturated zones can be heated to enhance the release of contaminants. Operating temperatures can range from 80 °C to 800 °C. When temperatures over 600 °C are achieved, organic compounds present in the heated zone can be broken-down via pyrolysis.

#### 9.5.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

ISTR is applicable to a wide range of contaminants, which respond well to heating (see <u>Annex A</u>), including: mercury, halogenated volatile organics, energetic nitroaromatic compounds, polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) and PCBs–Dioxin-like, polychlorodibenzodioxines, polychlorodibenzofurans (PCDD/PCDF), polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxin, polybrominated dibenzo Furan (PBDD/PBDF), pesticides and herbicides. It is particularly useful for extraction of NAPL entrapped in the unsaturated zone and high dissolved concentrations of contaminants in the saturated zone. High temperature in-situ heating is used primarily to treat high molecular weight compounds (like halogenated and non-halogenated VOCs, PCBs, diesel and oil-range fuels, and DNAPLs mixtures). ISTR can also used to extract elemental mercury from the unsaturated zone. Removal efficiency is reported in excess of 95 % for contaminant mass removal utilising this technique.

# 9.5.3 Description of technology

ISTR use a number of approaches to raise the subsurface temperature, deonding upon site setting and the desired temperature range required. Three main heating methods are identified as illustrated in <u>Annex D</u>:

- Electric Heating using a network of electrodes or heaters to heat the soil and groundwater. The heating is achieved by either thermal conductive heating (TCH) where individual heaters are utilised, or electric conduction heating systems (ECH) where current is passed between electrodes, heating occurs through the finction of the grounds resistance. TCH needs a low soil moisture and can reach 750 °C (high temperature systems) where contaminant desorption occurs (In Situ Thermal Desorption -ISTD). ECH requires a permeable soil with elevated soil moisture for the current to pass and reach a 100 °C objective (low temperature systems). TCH and ECH both require a dense grid of thermal wells.
- Ignition wells powered through the injection of a combustible gas and air mixture. They
  are used to heat the soil and start an in-situ flameless combustion (in-situ combustion (ISC) or
  "Smoldering") where the contaminants become the source of energy. It generally requires a medium
  grid of injection wells to create high temperatures.
- Injection of hot air or hot water or steam. There are a variety of techniques using an injected fluid or combinations to heat the subsurface generally up to a maximum of 100 °C (low temperature). These injections are not effective for low-permeability materials (hydraulic conductivity < 10-4 cm/sec). Shallow contaminated areas can result in prohibitively high heat loss to the atmosphere without insulation measures on the surface.</li>

NOTE High temperature may be reached with TCH and in-situ combustion technology: locally higher than 800 °C to 1 000 °C with an average temperature within the zone to remediate of 600 °C. Low temperature around 100 °C can be reached by ECH, in-situ steam flooding and other heat injection systems.

ISTR techniques are usually combined with a vapour collection and treatment system within the subsurface and above-ground treatment of recovered separated gaseous and liquid phases.

#### 9.5.4 Design consideration and dimensioning

A detailed site assessment is necessary to determine in the targeted contamination zone, the expected outcome of heating and to define geological properties (soil type, etc.), hydrologic properties (groundwater flow, degree of saturation, etc.). Key parameters are the moisture content; organic matter content within the unsaturated zone; and the number, characteristics of the (heat) injection and extraction (gas or vapour) wells network, and spacing in between the wells. The position and the design of these wells depend on the geometry of the targeted zone and their radius of influence (RoI) and/or radius of capture (RoC). Groundwater and vapour recovery and treatment systems have to be designed appropriately for the revoery of elevated temperature fluids (see <u>clause 9.21</u>). The evaluation and selection of treatment design alternatives for a particular thermal remediation system is based on technical feasibility and the costs (capital and operational) of achieving remediation goals.

#### 9.5.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectiveness of ISTR, key monitoring parameters are: 1) actual vs target subsurface temperature distribution, (using temperature monitoring wells); 2) mass of organic compounds in the recovered 3) energy consumption rate and cumulative; 3) pollutant mass reduction in the groundwater.

#### 9.5.6 Advantages and limits

ISTR is a reliable, fast (time frame up to 3-6 months), and proven technique where in-situ soil is heavily contaminated, clayey, or the contamination is entrapped and inaccessible for other in situ-treatment. ISTR can solve remediation problems, especially in low permeability soils contaminated with a whole chemical cocktail or when excavation would be too hazardous. ISTR is very aggressive treatment technology compared to bioremediation technology (e.g. in a clayey context, the soil could become

"cooked"). The energy consumption of all thermal installations is high (20-50l of fuel per tonne of soil) thus the carbon footprint can be very high. Soil properties, including geotechnical properties could be changed by the treatment impacting on potential post-treatment site use. Appropriate testing should be carried out as necessary.

### 9.5.7 Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

Specific areas of potential concern are exposures to high voltages, hot vapours or steam and hot temperatures when ISTR is operated. Measures have to be taken to protect on-site workers from incidental contact with power lines or exposed hot surfaces. In the case of ISTR targeting hydrocarbons contamination (like gasoline) or low flame point VOCs, security devices and protocols have to be taken into account for the monitoring and proper size design of the treatment units due to the risks of fire an explosion.

# 9.5.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

ISTR are also often designed as part of a remediation treatment train to optimize contaminant recovery. As an example, a low temperatures TCH can be used to optimise a viscous PAH or DNAPL multi-phase extraction systems (see <u>clause 9.9</u>) or pump and treat (see <u>clause 9.11</u>). The electric methods ECH and SAI (steam) can be applied to the unsaturated or saturated zone while being combined with a MPE technique. ISTR may also be used to enhance the efficiency of all Soil Vapour Extraction (SVE) systems within the unsaturated zone, increasing the extraction rates of vapour and gaseous contaminants within a "hot-SVE" compared to "cold-SVE" (see <u>clause9.7</u>).

# 9.6 On-site Thermal desorption

# 9.6.1 Technique principle

On-site thermal desorption relies on the application of heat to remove volatile contaminants from excavated soil by volatilization or pyrolysis (decomposition by heating) processes (see <u>Annex A</u>). Extracted contaminants are transported within the gas phase and are treated in a gas treatment system. It can be applied on site or off site.

#### 9.6.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

Contaminated soil with organic compounds including high concentrations of VOCs (e.g. 10 000 mg/ kg (1 % by weight) order of magnitude) can be treated using this technique. Soil with high moisture contents (> 40 %) are not recommended due to the high-energy costs for the evaporation of water. Efficiency is reported in excess of 97 %.

#### 9.6.3 Technology description

By heating the excavated contaminated soil to a temperature between 90 °C to 560 °C, mainly in the processing unit ((e.g. rotating barrel dryer/desorber), contaminants are volatilised or pyrolysed (see illustration in <u>Annex C</u>). The released contaminants are then transported in the gas phase and are processed in a separate gas treatment system. The smoke particles are first collected in filters, wet dust collectors and /or electrostatic precipitators; then, the organic compounds are removed by destruction (burning, catalytic oxidation) or fixation (activated carbon, condenser). Thermal desorption units that treat chlorinated solvents must be equipped with a treatment unit for the neutralization of hydrochloric acid. To cool the soil and to prevent dust-forming, the soil is re-moisturised with water after the thermal desorption phase.

#### 9.6.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

An on-site thermal desorption system is composed of a pre-treatment unit (grinding, mixing); dryer/ thermal desorber to remove water and target compounds (e.g. rotary kiln, screw or belt fed unit) heated

internally or externally; and powerful gas treatment units (e.g. heat exchanger, dust collectors, post combustion burner or oxidizer, condenser, activated carbon filters). Key parameters to consider when designing an ex-situ thermal desorption system are: 1) nature and concentration of contaminants as these determine the working temperatures of the desorber unit (e.g. for mineral oil: 200 °C to 400 °C; PAHs: up to 600 °C; PCB or halogenated VOCs: up to 600 °C) and also temperatures of the gas treatment unit; 2) the soil moisture, the organic matter, the sulfurous compounds contained in the contaminated soil; 3) the remedial targets and the capacity of treatment needed ( commonly 5 to 40 tonnes/hour).

#### 9.6.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectiveness of On-site Thermal desorption, key monitoring parameters are: 1) organic matter and moisture, contaminant concentrations within the soil to be treated; 2) temperature, turbulence, residence time in the desorption unit; 3) contaminant concentrations in the treated gas emissions. Monitoring of the treatment unit's operating parameters (flowrates, depression, head losses, saturation rates of filter, etc.) is also required.

# 9.6.6 Advantages and limits

On-site thermal desorption is a reliable, fast, and proven technique where the soil is heavily contaminated or too clayey for other treatment. Some contaminants such as halogenated VOCs can be a burden for the whole desorption unit as there is a continuous process of renewing corroded parts within the installation (on average up to 20 % operational costs). The need for thorough treatment of desorbed volatiles, an essential part of the process, can result in desorption being a costly form of treatment as it is usually used for heavily contaminated soils. The energy use in thermal installations is high (20-50 litres fuel per ton of soil) thus the carbon footprint is high.

Potential concern are hot temperature exposure for nearby workers from the burner surfaces and various pipes. The off-gases treatment units must be specially designed to avoid fire and blast hazards. The treated gas emissions must be continuously monitored and corrective action made instantaneously for example, when a malfunctioning burner releases dioxin like compounds.

The physical and other properties of the treated soil could be radically changed because water of hydration can be lost from many minerals including gypsum and at least some clay minerals, and organic matter and all organisms destroyed. These changes, and possibly a dark coloured aspect, could hinder its recycling as a soil-like material or within construction. Appropriate testing, including possibly plant growth and toxicity tests might be required.

#### 9.6.7 Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

Specific areas of potential concern are exposures for on-site workers to high voltages, hot vapours and hot temperatures when the system is operated. Measures have to be taken to protect on-site workers from incidental contact with power lines or exposed hot surfaces. In the case of the system targeting hydrocarbon contamination (like petroleum) or low flame point VOCs, security devices and protocols have to be taken into account for the monitoring and proper size design of the treatment units due to the risks of fire and explosion.

# 9.6.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Desorption relies first on the excavation of contaminated soil (see <u>clause 9.20</u>). New generations of processor units can be used to more effectively vaporize or burn the contaminants (e.g. rotating kiln, Archimedean screw, conveyor) in combination with innovative pre-treatments (e.g. pre-drying, additives, segregation, homogenization, pellets).

# 9.7 Soil Vapour Extraction (SVE)

### 9.7.1 Technique Principle

SVE or "vacuum extraction" or "Venting" is an accepted and proven technique for in-situ soil remediation. It is used for the removal of volatile compounds from the unsaturated zone. SVE establishes a vacuum in the subsurface to create a pressure/concentration gradient that facilitates the mass transfer of applicable contaminants from NAPL, adsorbed contaminants and transfer of constituents dissolved in soil moisture to the vapour phase. The vapour is then removed from the subsurface through extraction wells and can then treated aboveground to recover or destroy the contaminants.

#### 9.7.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

SVE can treat light TPH such as gasoline, BTEX, VOCs and SVOCs, generally with a vapour pressure of greater than 1,0 mm Hg at 20 °C (see <u>Annex A</u>). SVE relies upon air flow thus works only in gas permeable materials (sandy-silty to gravelly soils, with a permeability greater than  $10^{-5}$ m/s). The vacuum induced in the soil through SVE induces fresh atmospheric airflow though the unsaturated zone. SVE can also be used to protect indoor spaces from soil gas intrusions, commonly termed SSD (sub slab depressurisation) where very low flow and applied vacuums are utilised.

# 9.7.3 Technology description

The extraction network is typically constructed of vertical wells and/or horizontal drains connected with suitable piping (underground or lying on the surface) to a vacuum extraction unit to induce desired vacuum (typically an applied vacuum of up 300 mbar). A number of vacuum technologies are available dependent upon flow rates and vacuum applied to the system. These include centrifugal fans, claw blowers and lobe blowers.

Gas treatment units may use activated carbon filtration, biofiltration or catalytic oxidation. Control systems for safe operation including explosive atmosphere controls are key to safe effective operation. An illustration of the technology is provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

#### 9.7.4 Design and dimensioning considerations

The key parameters for dimensioning SVE are: 1) air-permeability of contaminated zone, 2) soil-vapor mass transfer coefficient, 3) extent of the contaminated area and thickness of the unsaturated zone and 4) the magnitude and distribution of the air flow.

These parameters impact on the Radius Of Influence (ROI), the Radius Of Capture (ROC) of each well, and the remediation effectiveness and end of a SVE operation. The dimensioning includes: 1) the number, spacing and characteristics of the injection wells, 2) the ROI and ROC of the extraction wells, 3) the number, spacing and characteristics of the extraction wells (depth, diameter, trenches, wells...), 4) the type and power of the extraction unit, and 5) the dimensions of the treatment unit.

The targeted contaminated area has to be fully covered by the ROC of SVE extraction network. Mathematical models may be used for dimensioning and predict performances of SVE. Soil Air-permeability may be measured in the fields by extraction tests or by experimental lab-scale approaches.

#### 9.7.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectivness of a biopiling technology, the key parameters are: 1) well head vacuum and the network head depression, 2) extracted flow, 3) soil-gas concentrations of the contaminants being targetted, and 4) concentrations and/or flow  $(m^3/h)$  and/or mass fluxes (gram/h, kg/day) in atmospheric releases.

If SVE is operated on a source of contamination to reduce a contaminant linkage, groundwater quality up gradient and down gradient of the source has to be monitored before, during and after the closing of the remediation operation.

# 9.7.6 Advantages and limits

SVE is easy to operate and relatively inexpensive. This technique is proven and has demonstrated great reliability SVE can continue to be used while the site remains in operation or even begins to be redeveloped. High permeability heterogeneities limit its efficiency. The most limiting factor for the use of this process is vapor pressure. A compound with a vapor pressure below 0,5 mm Hg (67 Pa) (at 20 °C) will not be remobilizable under normal venting conditions. In addition, the limiting Henry's law constant for significant volatilization is 0,01 Pa.m<sup>3</sup>/mol (at 20 °C).<sup>[10]</sup> SVE is operated on unsaturated zones with thickness of more of 1,5 m to 3 m depending on the context. In the case of low permeability moisty soils, geomechanical properties of the soil may be disturbed by SVE due to the extraction of soil natural moisture causing soil retraction. Because of the saturation of pore spaces, SVE is of limited effectiveness on soils with high grade of moisture.

# 9.7.7 Specific Environemental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

The extraction of volatile hydrocarbons requires consideration of the potential to form explosive atmospheres within the process. The equipment should be designed in conformity with local standards. Typically, vapours are controlled in the region of 15-25 % of the lower explosive limit in the extraction units and pipes to reduce the risk of explosion. This concentration also limits heat buildup in activated carbon adsorber units, minimising the potential for combustion within the beds.

Dilution of extracted gases with fresh air is often used as a control, especially at start up of the SVE project.

# 9.7.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Possible supporting techniques in combination of SVE are bioventing, thermal enhancement SVE. The introduction in the soil of fresh air during SVE operation stimulates aerobic biological activity. Biodegradation of organic compounds may be enhanced by the injection of nutrients within "bioventing". Bioventing is applicable to all biodegradable contaminants, but has been applied most frequently and reportedly most successfully to sites with petroleum hydrocarbon contamination. The efficiency of SVE may be improved by thermal enhancement SVE, to increase the in-situ volatilization rates of contaminants (see <u>clause 9.7</u>). Venting can also be combined with air-sparging to remove the same compound dissolved in the aquifer (see <u>9.8</u>).

NOTE Dynamic and pneumatic containment is another use of SVE. SVE is very versatile and as pumping for groundwater can be used as a dynamic and pneumatic containment. SVE can be used as a condtructive measure to mitigate subsurface contaminant vapor intrusion into building (Sub slab depressurization system).

# 9.8 Air-sparging

#### 9.8.1 Technique principle

Air sparging is an in-situ technique based on the mass transfert (volatilization) of dissolved volatile compounds from the water of the saturated zone to soil gas of unsaturated zone via air bubbles generated by injection of air within the aquifer. Air bubbles (with gaseous compounds) generally move upward toward the unsaturated zone, where a Soil-Vapor Extraction (SVE) system may be employed to capture the contaminated air. The injection of fresh oxygen in the aquifer may also stimulate aerobic biodegradation.

#### 9.8.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

Air-sparging is used to address a wide range of dissolved VOCs (see <u>Annex A</u>). Hydrogeological settings with homogenous hydraulic conductivity in the saturated zone (> $10^{-5}$  m/s), and permeability to air in the unsaturated zone provide optimal performance when operating air-sparging.

### 9.8.3 Technology description

Air-sparging technologies typically combine an air injection well network and a SVE wells network. Air is injected in vertical wells, screened below the targeted contaminated zone, using an air-compressor or blowerat a pressure determined by the depth of the screened portion of the wells, the air flow required and the lithology. Usually, the air injections are discontinuous ("runs") whereas associated SVE runs continuously. On site, off-gases treatment unit is often required before discharging the air to the atmosphere. An illustration of the technique is provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

#### 9.8.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

Key parameter to design an air-sparging system are 1) Radius Of Influence (ROI) of in-situ air-stripping and the Radius Of Capture (ROC) of SVE, and 2) depending on the size of targeted contamination and ROC, ROI of each well, the number, characteristics (depth, diameter, etc.) of the extraction and injection networks and spacing of the wells.

#### 9.8.5 Key monitoring parameters

The air-sparging technique requires a strict control of soil gas migration to avoid uncontrolled gas migration. To determine its effectiveness, key monitoring parameters are: 1) groundwater level, 2) concentration of contaminant in water and gas, and 3) indicators of biodegradation.

The monitoring of treatment units operating parameters (flowrates, depression, head losses, saturation rates of activated granular carbon filter, etc.) is also required.

#### 9.8.6 Advantages and limits

This technique can be a cost effective method for reaching remedial objectives within reasonable time frames (few months to several years depending on the context) and can be more effective than pumpand-treat methods. Air-sparging can cause mounding of groundwater thus in shallow groundwater conditions breakthough to surface can occur. The presence of LNAPL both in free-phase and within the geology may produce a free-phase layer where none was previously present and high off-gas concentrations. Complex/heterogeneous geological settings can limit ROI.

#### 9.8.7 Specific Environemental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

When air-sparging aims light hydrocarbons, extraction and off-gases treatment units must be special designed to avoid fire and blast hazards. High injection pressures may induce liquefaction of soils.

# 9.8.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Oxygen added to the contaminated groundwater can stimulate aerobic biodegradation of contaminants below and above the water table that may be enhanced by the injection in the targeted zone of nutrients and/or bacterias (combined bioventing and biosparging technologies – see <u>clause 9.7</u> and <u>9.8</u>).

#### 9.9 Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE)

#### 9.9.1 Technique principle

Multi Phase Extraction (also called Slurping) is an in-situ technology that utilises vacuum-assisted free product and/or groundwater recovery with bioventing and SVE to simultaneously recover free product (if present) and remediate the vadose and capillary/smear zones and shallow saturated zone.

Groundwater, LNAPL, and soil gas typically are recovered simultaneously from wells placed throughout the treatment area. One or more high-vacuum pumps/blowers are used to generate the required vacuum. "Slurping" involves use of a drop tube (stinger) that is placed near the oil-water interface (or bottom of the LNAPL saturated thickness) in each extraction well to focus recovery at the capillary/

smear zone fringe and shallow saturated zone. Drop tubes use high velocity airflow to entrain LNAPL/ water droplets at the liquid interface for removal. The extracted vapors, liquid-phase organics and the contaminated groundwater are then separated and treated using different technologies. Where large volumes of LNAPL are present, this technique can produce explosive atmospheres within the extraction system when using stingers. An alternative approach in this instance, or when the ground is highly productive is the use of a pump within the wells to abstract liquids, minimising the deliberate volatilisation of VOCs.

#### 9.9.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

This technique can be utilised for a range of contaminants within contaminated soil and groundwater, i.e. the unsaturated zone and the saturated zone (see <u>Annex A</u>). MPE is suitable for application in low permeability aquifers and in heterogeneous conditions. However, this process can only be applied to shallow depths due to difficulties with entrainment when overcoming atmospheric conditions.

#### 9.9.3 Technology description

The MPE system typicall comprises a series s of vertical extraction wells. These are linked by a pipework extraction network to the process plant. This typically comprises a liquid/vapour separator, vacuum pumps and specific treatment systes for recovered LNAPL, contaminated groundwater and gas. Dependent upon the design of the system, the field network can be unitary for entrained fluid flow within extracted gas phase or separate lines for each extracted phase (liquid / gaseous). A network of monitoring wells is used to monitor flows and quality of groundwater in and around the treatment area. An illustration of the technique is provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

#### 9.9.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The hydrogeological characteristics (porosity, permeability, heterogeneity, water table fluctuations, etc.) as well as the LNAPL characteristics (thickness, transmissivity, viscosity, interfacial tension, density, distribution/concentrations in the different phases) must be carefully characterized. The definition of remediation objectives within the LNAPL source zone (reduction of the mass) and in the gaseous phase as well as in the aqueous phase are the main elements which condition the treatment system to be implemented. Pilot tests are recommended to determine the radius of capture (ROC) of the extraction wells and the recovery time. These ROCs depend on flow rates/pressures, fluid viscosities, relative permeabilities, environmental heterogeneities etc. Mathematical models for predicting free-product recovery may be useful too.

#### 9.9.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectiveness of MPE, key monitoring parameters are:

- 1) LNAPL removal rates and cumulative LNAPL volume;
- 2) (time and space) evolution of apparent LNAPL thickness in extraction wells;
- 3) groundwater levels;
- 4) well head vacuum and the network head depression;
- 5) extracted flow;
- 6) soil-gas concentrations for compounds in concern;
- 7) soil-water concentrations for compounds in concern;
- 8) concentrations and/or flow (m<sup>3</sup>/h) and/or mass fluxes (kg/d) in gaz and water outflow;
- 9) energy consumption of the entire installation, including ex-situ water / oil treatment unit.
- 10) parameters related to water and gas treatment units (head loss, consumption of supplies, etc.).

#### 9.9.6 Advantages and limitations

MPE technique is mature, easy to operate. The extraction of all contamination phases (free product, dissolved and gaseous) is very effective and drastically limit the risks of unexpected rebound effects when extraction units are shut down. MPE wells could act as hydraulic control using wells and thus trapping the contamination. MPE can improve free-product recovery efficiency without extracting large quantities of ground water. The system is designed to minimize environmental discharge of ground water and soil gas.

MPE systems are appropriate for low permeability and /or heterogeneous formations. Dual phase vacuum extraction is more effective than SVE for heterogeneous clays and fine sands. However, it is not recommended for lower permeability formations due to the potential to leave isolated lenses of undissolved product in the formation. Where ground conditions are highly permeable, it is likely to be more efficient to consider DPLE or DPE.

The vaccum technologies for groundwater remediation are operated when watertable doesn't exceed 9 m Energy consumption can be high due to the effort applied.

#### 9.9.7 Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

MPE systems can create significant hazard by the production of large volumes of hazardous vapours. The equipment must therefore be designed, operated and maintained in accordance with local requirements (ATEX/NEC etc). The post extraction treatment process may also have concentration constraints which need consideration and control for dilution.

# 9.9.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

When free-product removal activities are completed, the system is easily converted to a conventional bioventing system to complete the remediation. MPE for liquid/vapor treatment is generally combined with bioremediation, air sparging, or bioventing when the target contaminants include long-chained hydrocarbons. Combination with complementary technologies (e.g., pump & treat in <u>clause 9.11</u>) may be required to recover groundwater from high yielding aquifers.

# 9.10 Dual Pump Liquid Extraction (DPLE)

#### 9.10.1 Technique principle

Dual Pump Liquid Extraction (DPLE) or oil pumping recovery is an in-situ technique which consists of LNAPL-mass recovery via physical removal by hydraulic recovery (e.g. pumping and skimming). Skimming consists of the selective removal of only fluid (LNAPL) using a pump or similar continuous mechanical device. Both LNAPL and water are removed. Less intensive LNAPL recovery techniques such as the use of adsorbent socks, manual bailing, passive skimmers, or vacuum trucks are not being here considered.

#### 9.10.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

DPLE is the most widely used technique to recover mobile LNAPL (diesel, fuel, gasoline, solvents....) floating on groundwater table, where the apparent thickness (in the wells) is generally over 1 cm. Groundwater extraction may also provide hydraulic containment of potentially migrating LNAPL.

#### 9.10.3 Technology description

In DPLE, the drawdown of LNAPL and groundwater through water pumping (in wells) induces an LNAPL gradient toward the recovery well. Groundwater drawdown may expose submerged LNAPL thereby increasing LNAPL mobility and recovery rate. Skimmer are placed at the oil-water interface. The most commonly used systems for skimming are hydrophobic filters, floating skimmers and oleophilic bands. LNAPL recovery may be undertaken in vertical wells or horizontal trenches (for shallow aquifers). The

performance of each well is characterized in terms of its Radius Of Capture (ROC). Ex-situ treatment unit are required to separate (oil-water separator) LNAPL from water and to remove dissolved contaminant present in pumped groundwater before discharge. An illustration of the technique is provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

#### 9.10.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

Site-specific data for technology evaluation have to be collected with a special attention to the measurements of site-specific hydrogeological (porosity, permeability, etc.) or LNAPL (composition, density, viscosity, removal rates, LNAPL transmissivity values, etc.) characteristics. The definition of remediation objectives within the LNAPL source zone (reduction of the mass, stabilization of the mobile LNAPL, containment of the source) and downstream of the source (restoration of the aquifer, interception of the plume, protection a drinking water well, etc.) are the main elements which condition the treatment system to be implemented. Bench-scale testing and/or pilot testing can be important steps toward evaluating feasibility of the technique. Mathematical models for predicting free-product recovery may be useful too.

#### 9.10.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectiveness of DPLE, key monitoring parameters are: 1) LNAPL removal rates and cumulative LNAPL volume, 2) (time and space) evolution of apparent LNAPL thickness in wells or trenches, 3) groundwater levels, and 4) energy consumption of the entire installation, including ex-situ water/oil treatment unit.

#### 9.10.6 Advantages and limitations

The advantages of this technique are that it is 1) relatively simple and quick to set up, 2) it produces little soil disturbance and it is possible to treat under buildings, 3) LNAPL removal is associated with hydraulic containment and 4) it contributes to reduce sources of dissolved (saturated zone) and gaseous (unsaturated zone) contamination. But it is not effective for small thicknesses of LNPAL (less than 1 cm) and if it is necessary to remove LNAPL residual contamination.

#### 9.10.7 Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

The design of LNAPL extraction unit must consider the risks of fire and/or explosion when targeted LNAPL is made of volatile flammable compounds (like gasoline, cyclohexane, etc.). Recovered LNAPL has often to be disposed ex-situ and off-site as a waste.

# 9.10.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

To improve the performance of the treatment, it is possible to increase LNAPL extraction rate using Surfactant-Enhanced Subsurface Remediation (SESR) (surfactant is injected to decrease interfacial tension and increase solubility) or Cosolvent flushing (a solvent is injected to increase LNAPL solubility and mobility). In both cases LNAPL and water are recovered hydraulically.

#### 9.11 Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation

#### 9.11.1 Technique principle

Hydraulic techniques are the most established in\_situ groundwater treatement techniques and/or contaminated groundwater containment. Hydraulic techniques involve the retrieval of groundwater and/or NAPL from a contaminated aquifer using one or more extraction wells, trenches, or galleries, and treating the water in an above-ground treatment system prior to discharge. Pumps may be submerged or aboveground. Depending on the contaminants being considered and their concentration, the pumping rates anddischarge quality requirements, the treatment of extracted groundwater/NAPL often includes multiple technologies used in a treatment train.

# 9.11.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

Hydraulic techniques can be applied for a wide range of dissolved organic contaminants (e.g. light petroleum hydrocarbons, BTEX, chlorinated compounds,...) and inorganic contaminants (e.g. metal, metalloids, nitrate, cyanid, (per)chlorate, ...) present in groundwater (see <u>Annex A</u>).

Hydraulic techniques can be applied to meet four different objectives (see illustrations of the techniques in <u>Annex D</u>):

- to extract mass of dissolved contaminants within or near source contamination to remove mass and to clean-up the groundwater (groundwater quality restoration) (usually called "Pump & Treat (P&T)");
- to create a hydraulic dynamic containment of the contaminant plume in the groundwater as a pathway management technique. Pumping is operated between the source of contamination and sensitive groundwater receptors (e.g. drinkingwater supply);
- to reduce the watertable to enhance LNAPL recovery (e.g. LNAPL dual pumping recovery see <u>clause 9.11</u>);
- to reduce the watertable to increase the thickness of the unsaturated zone targeted by other soil remediation techniques (e.g. "cold"or thermally enhanced SVE – see <u>clause 9.11</u>, multiphase extraction - see <u>clause 9.9</u>), soil excavation – see <u>clause 9.20</u>).

#### 9.11.3 Technology description

Pumping is operated from one or more vertical wells, horizontal drains, galleries or trenches, screened at suitable hydrogeological interval, and connected with a pipe network. Groundwater extraction is achieved using surfacemounted pumps (for watertable at a depth up to 9 m below ground level) or electrical or pneumatic submersible pumps. Extraction wells are always associated with special designed monitoring wells networks. Also water treatment unit is necessary (see <u>clause 9.21</u>).

#### 9.11.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The choice of relevant technique and associated design and dimensioning will depend on the remediation objectives and hydrogeological conditions (e.g permeability, watertable, aquitard configuration). For P&T and hydraulic containment techniques, the entire plume has to be included in the capture zone, which width depend the aquifer hydraulic properties, groundwater flow, and pumping rate(s). The number of wells necessary to intercept the plume and well design (depth, position of screened section, diameter, etc.) depend on the whole width of the plume and performances (pumping rates) of each well. Targeted withdrawal depend on the hydraulic properties of the aquifer, the technical characteristics of the wells/drains, and for LNAPL recovery, optimal in well oil renewal. Pumping rate are limited by the aquifer hydraulic properties but also by the on site ex situ treatment capacities and discharge regulation. Casings and screens of wells or drains have to be made of materials resistant to the contaminants involved, like stainless steel, HDPE or PVC. Hydraulic flow models are built to simulate the flow field in the vicinity of wells. Predictive modeling is also completed to approximate groundwater cleanup times. Modelling is based on specific conceptual model of the contaminated area requiring a comprehensive characterization.

#### 9.11.5 Key monitoring parameters

Performance and effectiveness are monitored by measuring hydraulic heads and gradients, pumping rates, inlet versus outlet (discharge) of on site ex-situ treatment units, mass extraction (dissolved, LNAPL). Groundwater flow directions, dissolved contaminant distributions/evolution in groundwater are monitored using a monitoring special designed wells network.

#### 9.11.6 Advantages and limitations

These techniques 1) are relatively simple, competitiveness in terms of cost, and are proven 2) may be applied on a wide range of organic as inorganic contaminants. It produces little soil disturbance and it is possible to treat under buildings and it may be combined with a wide range of in situ remediation techniques. The effectiveness of a hydraulic technique is reduced when low-permeability and/or heterogeneous aquifers. The operation cost drastically increase when mass extraction/concentrations decrease with time. Overall time Frame of these techniques could be prohibitive (weeks to decades) and must be carefully checked.

#### 9.11.7 Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

They vary significantly from site to site depending of the contaminant present. Special attention must be given when LNAPL (composed of volatile flammable compounds) and electrical devices (pump,...) are present in wells to prevent the risk of explosion.

# 9.11.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Hydraulic techniques may be combined with a wide range of in-situ remediation techniques. To improve the system performance, it is possible to combine these techniques with chemical processes (washing), biological or physical processes (hydraulic fracturing). They could be associated with a treatment of the unsaturated zone (washing, ventilation...). The reinjection upstream of the water pumped and eventually treated makes it possible to concentrate flows into the hydraulic trap ("hydraulic loop"). By moving dynamically the extracting wells along the plume spine, a dynamic groundwater re-circulation can be is achieved by increasing the actual groundwater flow rate through the plume, and injecting water at the edges of the plume to create a very effective contaminant pumping system. Extracted contaminated groundwater may be treated ex-situ afterwards its extraction (see <u>clause 9.21</u> on treatement techniques applied on water).

#### 9.12 Soil washing

#### 9.12.1 Technique principle

Soil washing aims to remove contaminants in soils by washing excavated contaminated soils with a liquid (water, solvent, specific reagent). It can be applied on-site or off-site. Ex-situ soil washing systems assume that most contaminants of concern bind to the finer soil fraction, consisting of clays, silts, and fine organic matter as opposed to the larger sand and gravel fraction.

#### 9.12.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

Soil washing can be applied to remove various organic and inorganic contaminants. It is mainly applied on heterogeneous soils to concentrate the finer fractions, generally the most contaminated (see <u>Annex A</u>). It is particularly useful for the separation of low degradable compounds recalcitrant to other remediation techniques (e.g. PAHs, PCBs, dioxins and furans, pesticides) and metals/metalloids. It can be used to treat large quantities of oil spill contaminated beach sand. The separated contaminants are concentrated and reduced in weight for disposal, incineration (on-site or off-site) or possibly stabilization and solidification.

#### 9.12.3 Technology description

As illustrated in <u>Annex D</u>, soil washing processes consist of several steps: 1) particle size separation (gravels, coarse, and fine particles) to isolate the fraction bearing the contaminant; 2) washing of the fine fraction with water, water with additives or solvent; 3) treatment of washed water; and 4) management of residual waste. The process uses equipment and machinery typically used in quarrying and mining (e.g. trommels, sieves, cyclones) with a strong water treatment unit (e.g. hydro-cyclones, up-flow tanks, flotation units, belt presses, etc.).

#### 9.12.4 Design and dimensioning considerations

In the cleaning treatment phase, a treatability test has to be performed to determine the treatment conditions after fully understanding the properties of the contaminated soil and separated fractions, concentrates, wastewater, etc. The soil cleaning method is a combination of several quarrying processes. Since the selection and combination of the purification process involves cleaning with water or other solvents, the best classification process or combination of processes (e.g. sieving separation, specific gravity separation, magnetic separations, and flotation separation process) has to be determined with treatability tests. The efficiency of a washing system is in the 50 % range if poorly designed and can reach up to 90 % if the correct contaminated fraction is targeted. Contaminants usually tend to accumulate in the fine particles, but depending on the history of contamination, the concentration may be high even in/on the coarse particles and if these are not washed by a conventional soil washing system, the system must be then redesigned.

#### 9.12.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectivness of a soil washing technology, the key parameters are 1) organic matter and moisture, contaminant concentrations within the soil to be treated; 2) flowrates in the washing tank, water or solvent consumption, soil residence time; and 3) washed water quantity and quality after treatment, removal effectiveness of specific contaminants in treated soils, exhaust dust or VOCs emission if any.

#### 9.12.6 Advantages and limitations

If the contamination is concentrated in the fine fraction of the contaminated soil the efficiency is very high and the volume of soil to be landfilled and the costs associated is reduced by approximately 90 %. The large volume of soil that is not contaminated after washing can be reused as backfill at the site but it is only coarse soil. A soil-washing unit can handle a large volume/mass of contaminated soils easily (25 or 50 tonnes/hr).

There is a decrease the efficiency if the soil is very silty or clayey (generally ineffective for soils with over 30 % silt, clay, or organic matter). Treatment of the wash water can be tricky if chemical additives are used because these must be also treated. VOCs could generate air emission high enough to require treatment by a specific air treatment unit. Treated soil is usually coarse and can cause geotechnical issues for reuse of the site.

#### 9.12.7 Specific Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) aspects

Potential concerns are mechanical parts and chemical handling for nearby workers. As a quarry system noise and vibration caused by the plant must be controlled. Odours when sieving contaminated soil must be controlled as well as VOCs emission. In town, a mobile washing unit could be placed in tent with an air treatment unit.

# 9.12.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Soil has to be excavated first (see <u>clause9.20</u>). Contaminated fine particles separated by hydro-cyclone or other methods can be subjected to other techniques including new sieves, swirl effect cyclone etc. to increase the effectiveness of remediation. When residual organic contamination within the sludge cakes is high, the soil washing process can be followed by an incineration process applied to the sludge cakes (see <u>clause 9.15</u>). But if the residual contaminants are less concentrated, the sludge cake can be disposed off on-site with an appropriate cover system if it does not pose any risks to human health and the environment (see <u>clause 9.17</u>).

# 9.13 Biopiling

#### 9.13.1 Technique principle

Biopiling consists of placing contaminated soils in a heap and undertake a biological treatment. It can take place on site or off site. The contaminated extracted soils are generally amended and the conditions in the biopiling have to be controlled (e.g. aeration, addition of nutrients, etc.). Biopilingare almost exclusively aerobic.

#### 9.13.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

Biopiling can treat contaminated soils that are retrieved from the unsatureated zone or saturated zone with petroleum products such as BTEX. Other organic compounds such as VOCs, pesticides, PAHs, can also be treated under certain conditions, but with lower efficiencies.

Biopiling treatments are preferably applied to soil with contaminant concentration that are below 15-20 g/kg of hydrocarbons for "classic" oil products. Such biological technique is based on complex biodegradation mechanisms that are generally very long. The treatment time can therefore high and highly variable depending on the remediation objectives. It can vary from a few weeks to several months, or even 18 to 24 months.

#### 9.13.3 Technology description

Once extracted the contaminated soil matrix is mixed with an amendment (structuring agent) before being placed in the treatment zone. The treatment zone comprises of at least a leachate collection system and aeration units (extraction or air blowing) to optimise oxygen transfer and stimulation of biodegradation. Biological degradation is mostly achieved by biostimulation. The biodegradation has to be controlled (temperature, moisture content, nutrients, oxygen, pH).

As illustred under <u>Annex D</u>, biopiling are usually covered by an impermeable geomembrane to limit rainwater infiltration, contaminatant volatilization and temperature maintenance/increase. The heaps are generally not higher than 3 m (to avoid compaction). The collected leachate is partly recycled and partly treated on site before being discharged. Atmospheric emissions are treated if necessary (e.g. if presence of VOCs).

#### 9.13.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

Data needed for designing biopiling treatment are mainly collected during biodegradability tests (oxygenation of the in situ medium, humidity, concentration of nutrients, temperature, density of the adapted microbial population in place, degradation time). These tests, combined with intrinsic parameters of the environment (water permeability, air permeability, percolation speed, etc.) will make it possible to define the optimal operating conditions and the sizing of the treatment unit.

Key parameter to design an biopiling treatment are 1) geometry, 2) the characteristics of the ventilation network (oxygenation rate), 3° the characteristics of the sprinkler system (nutrient addition and leachate recirculation), 4) type of nutrients, and 5) possible the size of the treatment units (for the waste water and off gas treatement).

#### 9.13.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectivness of a biopiling technology, the key parameters are:

- vacuum at the extraction wells;
- parameters relating to the bacteria development (pH, temperature, conductivity, redox potential, humidity) C/N/P/K ratio;
- content of possible additives;

— contaminant concentrations in the soil and soil gases (e.g. monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> emission).

In some cases, contaminant concentrations in the atmospheric emissions and parameters relating to gas treatment (flow rates, depression, pressure drop, saturation of activated carbon....). In addition, contaminant concentrations waste water and parameters relating to water treatment can be considered (see <u>clause 9.21</u>).

#### 9.13.6 Advantages and limitations

Biopiling is a reliable and well proven technique with high reliability and extremely significant results. It reies on a destructive process and can be applied to many contaminants. It is widely used in the case of heterogeneous and easily biodegradable soils. It is a technique that allows excellent microbial control (oxygenation of the environment, humidity, concentration of nutrients, temperature, density of the microbial population in place, etc.). therefore, controlling biopiling parameters (i.e. biodegradation process) is easier that controlling the key parameters in situ biological treatments. It can be a competitive in terms of cost and performance. It can improve physical qualities of the atreated soil (particularly the organic matter content).

There are also some limitations to the technique. Dealing with high concentrations of metals/ metalloids are incompatible with this process. High concentrations of contaminants can be toxic for microorganisms (TPH > 50,000 to 100,000 mg/kg). Biopiling requires excavation and somes sorting of the excavated materials (granulometries larger than 60 mm are often excluded from the process.), and the fate of excavated soils must be carefully examined. On the other hand the percentage of fine particles is also a limiting factor as soils containing clay and a high organic matter content lead to a high adsorption of contaminant on the solid matrix, (which decreases the treatment yield). Low temperatures considerably reduce the efficiency of the treatment. In addition, soil heterogeneity can interfere with the homogeneity of the airflow distribution and thus the effectiveness of the treatment. Static biopiles can lead to less homogeneous results than those obtained with turning or mixing. Atmospheric emissions sometimes require air treatment, which lead to additional costs. The height of the heap is generally between 1 and 3 m maximum, which implies a sometimes consequent ground surface. The addition of structuring agents sometimes increases the volume of material to be treated.

#### 9.13.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspect

As with any biological degradation technique, it is important to monitor the gaseous emissions, which can present a strong odour nuisance and an H2S risk in the case of anaerobic reactions.

# 9.13.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

The process relies first on excavation to be carried out ( see <u>clause 9.20</u>). It will also rely of treatments techniques for the waste water and off gas (see <u>clause 9.21</u>). In some cases, biopiling can be heated (between 25 and 45 °C) to promote biodegradation (injection of heated air, circulation of hot water in a closed circuit, etc.).

# 9.14 Landfarming

#### 9.14.1 Technique principle

The process is simple and consists of spreading a low thickness of contaminated soils on an impermeable surface and then promoting aerobic biodegradation through conventional farming techniques. The technique can be applied on site or off site.

NOTE The process as described here is a development from the practice of "sludge farming (sometimes also referred to as "landfarming") used for many years to dispose of oil refinery sludges. The oily waste was spread on the surface of the soil and then, with the addition of nutrients to stimulate microbiological activity, ploughed in. This process clearly has a potential for adverse environmental impacts if not properly designed and managed<sup>[11]</sup>.

### 9.14.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

Despite various applications in different countries, this technique is outdated in favour of treatments by biopiling and by composting which require less space and allow better control of environmental conditions. Nevertheless, landfarming is effective in treating soils contaminated by organic compounds including BTEX, phenols, PAHs, and petroleum hydrocarbons with some positive experiences for pentachlorophenols, heavy hydrocarbons, and pesticides (see <u>Annex A</u>). However, high concentrations of contaminants can be toxic to microorganisms and high concentrations of metal(loid)s are incompatible with this process. In addition, volatile organic compounds (such as BTEX) can simply be dispersed to atmosphere rather than degraded.

#### 9.14.3 Technology description

Landfarming consists of spreading a small thickness (usually <50 cm) of contaminated soil (on-site or off-situ) over large areas (tens to hundreds of square metres). This practice allows interaction between the contaminated soil and the atmosphere (see illustration in <u>Annex D</u>). The aim of the technology is to promote ventilation and therefore aerobic degradation. Ploughing the soil allows regular aeration. Biological integration is also favoured by the addition of nutritional supplements (minerals and fertilizers). Once mixed with structuring agents such as straw to aid air and moisture ingress, and various amendments, soils are usually turned regularly to improve ventilation.

The contaminated soil must be spread out on an impermeable support (asphalt, geomembrane, more rarely concrete) to avoid any contamination of soil and underground water. The leachate is collected and either treated before discharge into the environment or recirculated to promote humidification and bacterial renewal. If necessary or if it is possible, the operations can be carried out under a tent or a hangar to limit the spread of airborne dust and the dissemination into the atmosphere of volatile compounds.

#### 9.14.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The data required for dimensioning a landfarming operation are mainly collected during biodegradability and compost compatibility tests. These tests associated with identification of the intrinsic parameters of the environment will allow definition of the optimal operating conditions and the sizing of the processing unit: 1) the surface required for treatment, 2) the type of compost and structuring agent and required input ratio, 2) the frequency of ploughing, and 3) if necessary, the characteristics of the sprinkler network and the dimensions of the liquid treatment units.

Landfarming processing consists of the following:

- 1) pretreatment (homogenization, screening, addition of nutrients and amendment of organic or structural matter);
- 2) fixed treatment platform on impermeable support (clay, concrete, HDPE or equivalent) including means to collect and recycle the leachate;
- 3) agricultural equipment for ploughing;
- 4) equipment for monitoring the conditions of the treated soils (oxygenation, humidity, nutrient concentration, temperature, current microbial population density) and environmental impacts (groundwater, superficial, and air), and
- 5) storage of solid and liquid wastes resulting from treatment.

#### 9.14.5 Key monitoring parameters

Like any biological treatment, to determine the effectiveness, the essential parameters to be controlled are humidity, nutrients, pH, and temperature. In addition, other key monitoring parameters are 1) the proper development of bacteria (pH 6 to 8, temperature 10 to 25 °C, humidity with 40 to 85 %, and C-N-P-K ratio, and 2) evolution of the contaminants in soils including the metabolites which can be produced.

### 9.14.6 Advantages and limitations

Landfarming is a simple technique to design and implement. It is competitive in terms of cost and performance. It is a proven technique used for heterogeneous and easily biodegradable contaminants. It relies on a destructive process which allows better control than biological treatments in-situ, and improvement of the physical qualities of soils.

However, the technique has some disadvantages and limiting factors. It relies on soil excavation, substantial pre-treatment, and large areas of impermeable support. It produces dust during ploughing. It leads to the evaporation of volatile contaminants directly into the atmosphere. Its biodegradation is less efficient than biopiling and composting. Its effectiveness is controlled by the soil characteristics (particle size, organic matter content) and operating temperatures.

#### 9.14.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

Volatile compounds should be specifically collected and treated to limit atmospheric transfers.

If landfarming operations are carried out in a tent or similar, those working within the covered area must have independent air supplies, ploughing equipment etc. should be intrinsically safe, and exhausted ventilation air should be treated to remove volatile contaminants as necessary.

# 9.14.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Lanfarming relies on excavation (see <u>clause 9.20</u>) Additional techniques for the separation of soil grain sizes or treating gases can be implemented (see <u>clause 9.21</u>).

# 9.15 Incineration

#### 9.15.1 Technique principle

Inceneration is one of the oldest treatment technique. It relies on an aerobic combustion in a furnace with high temperatures, which destroys the contaminants or volatilise them. Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window). It can be applied on site or off site after the excavation of contaminated soils.

#### 9.15.2 Scope and applicability if the technique

It can be applied to a wide range of contaminants, including mercury and various Persistent organic pollutant (POPs). In the case of organic contaminants, the aerobic combustion destroys the contaminants into vapour, carbon dioxide and combustion residues (ash). Metals are not destroyed and are found either in the flue gas or in the solid fraction (ash). Metals in the gas fraction can be oxidised and recovered specifically. Inceneration can be on site (using mobile units) or off site technique.

Implementation is fast and compatible with immediate property development. Treatment times in the desorption unit are only a few minutes to a few tens of minutes. Modern treatment units are capable of treating several tens of tons per hour.

#### 9.15.3 Technology description

The techniques relies on aerobic combustion that destroys organic pollutants into water vapour, carbon dioxide and combustion residues (ash). Metals are not destroyed and are found either in the flue gas or in the solid fraction (ash). Metals in the gas fraction can be oxidised and recovered specifically. As illustrated in <u>Annex D</u>, incineration generally consists of two steps: 1) incineration within a first chamber in which organic pollutants are desorbed and volatilised (temperature > 400 °C), and 2) incineration within a second combustion chamber in which the organic pollutants are destroyed (temperature > 1000 °C).

Prior to incineration, the soil is pre-treated (sieving, drying, etc.); only particles a few centimetres in size are accepted in the furnace. Gaseous and particulate compounds are carried away by an air stream and are recovered for treatment. Chlorine, nitrogen and sulphur (present as HCl, NOx, and SOx) are removed from the air emissions, usually by neutralisation in alkaline solution.

Heating in the furnace of a desorption unit can be done directly or indirectly. There are different types of ovens, (e.g. rotary ovens, grate furnaces, fluidized bed ovens and Infrared ovens).

#### 9.15.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The dimensioning of incenarition is can be done following feasibility tests. The key design parameters to consider are:

- residence time, temperature and turbulence in the treatment unit;
- the target remedial concentrations;
- the heat transfer efficiency of the furnace;
- data required for pre-treatment (grinding, pre-drying, crushing, mixing of lime, gypsum, etc.);
- data required for the treatment of atmospheric emissions (dust levels, volatile compound content, residual content to be obtained in the discharge).

#### 9.15.5 Key monitoring parameters

To determine the effectiveness of MPE, key monitoring parameters are:

- 1) concentrations of contaminats, organic matter content, particle size;
- 2) temperature in the furnace and at the exit, turbulence, residence time, oxygen content, air/fuel ratio, 3) fuel consumption (flow and pressure) or energy;
- 4) furnace depression and associated air flows;
- 5) contaminant concentrations in the air emissions;
- 6) parameters relating to gas treatment (flow rates, vacuum, pressure drop, saturation ....);
- 7) contaminant levels at the end of the treatment.

#### 9.15.6 Advantages and limitations

Incineration is a proven technique that has demonstrated high reliability and extremely significant results. It can be quite fast and less expensive than other thermal treatments. It destroys the contaminants. It can treat many contaminants, especially VOCs . it can also be appplied on very heavily contaminated soils (the content of organic compounds can be of the order of several percent). It can treat "volatile" metals such as zinc, cadmium, lead, etc. It is effective even for clayey and heterogeneous soils. It makes it possible to achieve very high levels of decontamination.

The technique also has some limitations and disadvantages. The process relies on soil excavation. In addition, particles larger than a few centimetres are not allowed: either segregation or grinding is required, and compacted soils should be crushed. Soils with a moisture content of more than 20 % must be pre-treated by heating. Also, the heterogeneity and organic matter content of the soils can have a significant impact on the treatment yield.

It is a very energy-intensive technique and requires important treatment of atmospheric emeissions. It can therefore be very expensive. Its carbon footprint is high as it releases high  $CO_2$  emissions from the incineration process. On-site treatment require a large surface area. Very high level of technical expertise is required. It is essential to reach very high temperatures in order not to release some of the contaminants into the atmosphere (or their metabolites such as dioxins and furans for example). The

process used in off-site treatment requires costly transport. High organic nitrogen content may also require additional treatment of air emissions (due to possible NOx formation). High metal contents lead to problems with air emissions and ash disposal. Soils with high clay and organic matter content are more difficult to treat.

The gases must most of the time be cooled in order to protect the downstream treatment units. The outlets for very highly contaminated ash must be considered from the outset of the project as they can pose significant problems.

#### 9.15.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

Specific areas of potential concern are exposures for on-site workers hot temperatures when the system is operated. Measures have to be taken to protect on-site workers from incidental contact with exposed hot surfaces.

# 9.15.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Inceneration relies on excavation (see <u>clause 9.20</u>). It also relies on off gas treatment see <u>clause 9.21</u>). The treatment of atmospheric emissions is highly variable; the sequence of the various dust removal, oxidation or adsorption and neutralisation units is highly variable.

# 9.16 Vertical Barrier Technologies (VBT)

#### 9.16.1 Technique principle

Vertical containment relies on physical passive containment. They are not treatment techniques but engineered based techniques aiming at containing in-situ contamination. It aims to prevent groundwater flow out of the contaminated area through installation of a vertical barrier between the source of contamination and groundwater and/or surface water to be protected. Barriers can be placed around the periphery of the contaminated site or installed downstream or upstream of the contamination source.

NOTE There are two types of physical passive containment: vertical barrier technology and surface containment (see <u>clause 9.17</u>).

#### 9.16.2 Scope and applicability of the technique

Vertical containments is an in-situ technique and can be applied to almost all types of contaminant (VOCs, PCBs, PAHs, metals/metalloids) (see <u>Annex A</u>). However, some contaminants are not compatible with the usual containment materials.

The implementation of these different types of vertical containment can requires very specialised and expensive construction equipment which can usually only be justified for a minimum volume of contaminated soil, below which excavation is more appropriate.

#### 9.16.3 Technology description

<u>Table 4</u> shows the main techniques of vertical containement and their frequency of use (see illustration in <u>Annex D</u>).

Principle	Technique	Principal Material(s)	Frequency of use
	Chuman	Slurry bentonite/cement	
Excavation of soil and placement	Siully wall	Plastic concrete	+++++
of watertight material	Composite slurry wall	Slurry bentonite/cement with geomembrane or sheet peeling	++++
Soil displacement and placement	Thin wall	Slurry bentonite/cement	+++
of watertight material	Sheet piling	Steel	+
	Injected sheet	Injection grout	++
Reduction on site of permeability	Soil mixing	Cement or slurry bentonite/cement	++
	Jet grouting	Cement	++

# 9.16.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

Dimensioning requires consideration of 1) Geotechnical soil characteristics (type of soils, stability, ...), 2) Hydrogeological context (groundwater depth, permability of the saturated zone, ...), 3) Specific objectives, design life, area, remediation limits, end uses etc. of the VBT project , and 4) Site characteristics (topography, actual uses, access, water balance model, ...).

It is necessary to verify the compatibility of the contaminants with the containment materials before selecting the technique. In general, it is useful to anchor the barrier in an impermeable formation.

# 9.16.5 Key monitoring parameters

Vertical containments require very long-term monitoring to track their effectiveness. Monitoring must allow the functioning of the containment to be understood and permit the evolution of its efficiency to be determined. Most often, the monitoring consists of taking and analysing samples from groundwater or surface water in order to verify its quality and how this evolves over time. A regular check should be realized to ensure that containment measures are still in place and functional.

#### 9.16.6 Advantages and limitations

<u>Table 5</u> shows the advantages and the limitations of vertical containment systems.

Advantages	Limitations
	<ul> <li>Contamination stays in place</li> </ul>
Large range of contaminents	<ul> <li>Specific and onerous construction equipment</li> </ul>
Large range of contaminants	<ul> <li>Only permits limitation of horizontal transfers</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Adapted for large volumes of inorganic and mixed contamination</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Very long-term monitoring and servicing</li> </ul>
— Reliability	<ul> <li>Need to keep track of the contamination and implementation of use restrictions</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>May require other treatment techniques</li> </ul>

#### Table 5 — Advatages and limitations

# 9.16.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

In the case of slurry walls, soils must be excavated using slurries that will support the side of the excavated trench. Surplus slurry could be contaminated and have to be disposed as waste.

# 9.16.8 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Vertical containments can be combined with the other remediation techniques (e.g. cover systems (see <u>clause 9.17</u>), pump and treat (see <u>clause 9.11</u>), permeable reactive barrier (see <u>clause 9.18</u>).

# 9.17 Cover systems

#### 9.17.1 Technique principle

Cover systems rely on physical passive containment. They are not treatment techniques but engineered based techniques aiming at containing in-situ contamination. They enable: 1) physical isolation of the contaminated ground from the outside environment; 2) control of liquid and gas flows; 3) improvement of mechanical stability; and 4) can be a support for buildings and vegetation.

Although covers can consist of a single layer of suitable material preventing site users coming into contact with contamination and dispersal of contaminants by wind and precipitation, they are often multi-functional and comprise a number of layers intended to perform specific functions. For example, to limit or prevent infiltration of water, provide drainage for water and/or gas, prevent upward migration of gas, prevent upward migration of contaminated groundwater, support vegetation, limit rooting depth, support buildings and other structures contain electrical and other services to buildings etc. Each cover system must be designed on a site-specific basis.

NOTE 1 There are two types of physical passive containment: vertical barrier technology (see <u>clause 9.16</u>) and surface containment.

NOTE 2 The term "capping" is sometimes used but this is best restricted to instances where the primary function is to prevent water ingress, e.g. on old landfills.

#### 9.17.2 Scope and applicability of the technique

A cover system is a suitable option for heterogeneous contamination not susceptible to other remediation techniques. Containment can be temporary or final.

Cover systems must be designed to provide the required functions for the specific conditions of each site including: 1) the nature and extent of the contamination, 2) the geological, hydrogeological and hydrological characteristics of the site, and 3) the intended use of the land.

Most covering systems are intended to be permanent. However, sometimes they are temporary, and intended to function for only a short time. They might, for example, be part of an emergency response intended to prevent contact between contaminants and those who might enter the site, and to prevent the spread of contamination by wind action or movement of precipitation on the site. They might also be intended to improve the trafficability of the site and/or provide a working platform for installation and operation of some other remediation technique.

#### 9.17.3 Technology description

Multi-layered covers use layers of differing materials to perform different functions. The materials used can be natural (e.g. top soil, gravels, clay, sand), synthetics (e.g. HDPE geomembrane or other geosynthetic material, clay liner, concrete, bitumen) or a combination. Depending on the functions to be performed, the thickness of the cover system can vary from as little as 0.3 m (e.g. for a temporary separation layer) to well over 1 metre. The thickness will depend on the materials used and the functions required. It is recommended to avoid overly complex designs because of the difficulties in measuring the quality of the installation. Illustrations of cover system(s) are provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

A typical multi-layer system could include, together with other elements, from top to bottom: 1) a surface layer to support vegetation; 2) a protection layer to prevent physical intrusion (if composed of a low-permeability material it can also serve to limit infiltration);3) a water/gas drainage layer; 4) a low-permeability layer to prevent water infiltration; and 5) a foundation layer. An important component

in many cases could be a capillary break layer usually composed of a free-draining granular material (it can sometimes also serve as a gas/water drainage layer). The potential capillary rise depends on the fineness of the material and sometimes could be several metres above the water table.

Covers can be difficult to apply on sloping or domed sites without first carrying out engineering works (e.g. benching) to shape the material to be covered to limit the possibility of erosion and slope failure etc.

#### 9.17.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

A comprehesive conceptual site model (CSM) (see ISO 21365 for guidance on CSMs) for the remediated site is required that identifies all the functions that the covering system is required to perform and how the cover might develop over a prolonged period of time. It is essential to identify "forseeable" events such changes in land use, flooding or rising groundwater levels, settlement, cultivation and development of vegetation with time including for example, and colonisation by deep-rooting trees and burying animals. It is crucial to know whether covering the site will conclude action on the site or whether there is an intention to develop it immediately or in the near term. Consideration will be needed to whether there are practical and enforceable controls on how the site is used in the future. It is also important to identify any constraints that might in influence the design of the covering system, for example it might not be acceptable for a number of reasons to raise site levels by say 1.5 metres including the impact this would have on adjacent sites and possibly induced settlement that could promote dispersal of contamianted groundwater and ground gas.

Among the parameters that should be considered are: 1) Geotechnical soil characteristics (type of soils, stability, ...), 2) Hydrogeological context (groundwater depth, permability of the saturated zone, ...), 3) Specific objectives, design life, area, remediation limits, intended end uses etc. of the land and 4) Site characteristics (topography, actual uses, access, water balance model, ...).

The choice of materials is based on their costs, their availability and their characteristics with respect to the previously defined functions (protection, sealing, drainage, filtration, reinforcement, resistance to erosion, design life, type of contaminant).

When the provision of the cover system is a prelude to development of the site with buildings or other structures, it is essential to take account of any engineering works required to enable planned construction works to be carried out. Consideration, must for example, be given to required engineering bearing pressures, the location and form of services to be provided, and the need to ensure that subsequent construction works will not compromise the functioning of the cover system.

#### 9.17.5 Key monitoring parameters

Cover systems usually lead to the imposition of use restriction measures and requirements to keep a permanent record of their presence and design, and to specify the operating and the maintenance methods. Appropriate environmental monitoring must be set up to verify the absence of impact on the environment when it has been decided to contain the contamination.

A distinction must therefore be made between: 1) measures carried out immediately after work to confirm proper installation (permeability testing, defect detection methods, confirmation of layer depths...) and long-term monitoring measures (groundwater and surface water quality analyses, monitoring of air emissions, absence of erosion, confirmation of integrity (e.g. absence of works that might have undermined effectiveness)) and maintenance measures.

#### 9.17.6 Avantages and limitations

<u>Table 6</u> shows the advantages and the limitations of cover systems.

Advantages	Limitations
<ul> <li>Large range of contaminant types</li> <li>Adaptable for large volumes of inorganic and mixed contamination</li> <li>Reliability</li> <li>Turnaround times and costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contamination stays in place</li> <li>Very long-term monitoring and maintenance</li> <li>Need to keep track of the contamination and implementation of use restrictions</li> <li>Could require other treatment techniques to be used in conjunction</li> <li>Difficult to apply on sloping or domed sites without first carrying out engineering works to shape the material to be covered to limit the possibility of erosion and slope failure etc.</li> </ul>

# Table 6 — Advatages and limitations

# 9.17.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

# 9.17.7.1 Possible other techniques or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Cover systems can be combined with the other remediation techniques, including for example, vertical containments, pumping and treatment, permeable reactive barriers, ground gas control systems.

# 9.18 Permeable reactive barrier (PRB) systems

### 9.18.1 Technique Principle

A Permeable Reactive Barrier (PRB) enables the remediation of contaminated groundwater. PRB consist of a vertical permeable zone made of reactive materials (also called Reactive Zone - RZ). It is placed perpendicular to the groundwater flow direction in order to intercept a plume of dissolved contaminants in the saturated zone. Targeted contaminants can be either immobilized (absorbed) or chemically and/or biologically degraded, detoxified, mineralized or converted into less innocuous forms as they migrate passively through the reactive zone under the control of natural hydraulic gradient.

#### 9.18.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

BPR systems are able to treat a wide range of pollutants whether organic or inorganic that are present in an aqueous form in groundwater (see <u>Annex A</u>).

#### 9.18.3 Technology description

Two geometric configurations are most frequently used for PRB systems (see illustration in <u>Annex D</u>), i.e. 1) continuous PRB which is a single reactive permeable "wall" installed across the plume or 2) funnel-and-gate (FAG) which comprises a permeable gate also called reactor (RZ) – and that is placed at the convergence of two impermeable walls that redirect the plume towards ant through the reactive zone. Zerovalent Fe° Iron (ZVI) is commonly used as reactive material for the RZ for the remediation of chlorinated solvents, redox-sensitive metals and metalloids (e.g. Cr, As). A wide range of other reactive materials is also available: GAC (granulated activated carbon), zeolite, sawdust for immobilization, Oxygen-Releasing Compounds (ORC) or Hydrogen-Releasing Compounds (HRC). (aerobic or anaerobic). Biowalls are BPR that promote biological treatment of groundwater.

#### 9.18.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The quality of installation of a BPR system is critical for its successful performance and includes geotechnical and civil design considerations, e.g. various trenching technologies, direct injection, large-diameter borehole-filled completions are possible. The PRB design relies on the most suitable

barrier location and configuration. It should consider an appropriate reactive matrix type with relevant hydraulic conductivity and specify the length/width of the barrier. The performance and the design of BPR are highly dependent on several parameter such as treatment objectives, local hydrogeological settings, type of contaminant(s), concentration and mass transfer of contaminant(s) (i.e. 3D plume geometry) in groundwater and the nature, permeability and thickness of reactive barrier. Two main variables have to be considered for the proper design of BPR: 1) the "capture zone" (the width of the barrier necessary to intercept the entire plume) and 2) the "residence time" (the time required for contaminated groundwater to flow through the reactive matrix to achieve the treatment goals).

#### 9.18.5 Key monitoring parameters

A dense monitoring well network is required downgradient and upgradient of the plume to monitor and control groundwater levels the numbers reflecting the geometry of the system (larger numbers of wells upgradient and fewer, downgradient for funnel-and-gate geometry than for continuous barriers). Site specific long-term performance monitoring plans based on a hydrogeological conceptual model should be developed to detect processes that may jeopardize a PRB's performance like 1) loss of reactivity 2) decrease in hydraulic conductivity of RZ 3) decrease in contaminant residence time in RZ 4) short-circuiting or leakage in the funnel (for FAG).

#### 9.18.6 Advantages and limits

PRBs appear to be sustainable in-situ techniques as they are passive, long lasting, cost-effective alternatives compared to traditional active Pump & Treat which has low operational and maintenance costs but higher installation costs. To limit the risk of failing to identify bypassing preferential contaminant pathways, an exhaustive site characterization is essential for the success of PRB systems. Undesirable processes like clogging and biofouling, may occur in the RZ hindering the PRB's performance. Conventional PRBs require some excavation, which limits them to fairly shallow depths of about 20 m. The existence of above-ground structures and/or buried rocks or networks of pipes can limit the feasibility of a PRB's construction. Waste (soil material, sludges) requiring disposal can be generated during trenching. There is also a real need to anchor the PBR within the (preferably low-permeability) bedrock beneath the aquifer to a minimum depth of 2 m (otherwise groundwater will bypass the barrier).

#### 9.18.7 Specific health and safety aspects

Production of waste when trenching within polluted soils and saturated GAC (for RZ intended to immobilize contaminants).

#### 9.18.8 Possible combination with other technique and technique variations

A wide range of innovative reactive materials can be used as the reactive matrix. Some additional groundwater treatment such as sparging (see clause xxx) or additives injections (groundwater phredox regulation, complexing agent, skimmers) can be placed up-gradient of the BPR to optimize its operation.

#### 9.19 Immobilisation techniques for soil and solid materials

#### 9.19.1 Technique principle

Stabilization and solidification processes can be applied alone or in combination, in the latter case usually being characterized as stabilization/solidification (S/S) processes. Stabilization processes are those in which the chemical form of a substance of interest is converted to a form that is less mobile. Solidification processes convert contaminated soils and other materials (e.g. dredged silts) into a consolidated mass or otherwise improves the physical characteristics of the treated material. S/S processes are often applied ex-situ to excavated contaminated soils and/or materials before disposal by landfilling or use beneficially in earthworks. Although ex-situ S/S can be carried out in a single

operation, better results are often obtained if stabilization is carried out as a separate operation before solidification. S/S can also be applied in-situ.

NOTE The underlying principles for in-situ immobilisation techniques are the same as those for ex-situ processes. The major differences concern the methods of application and how to verify that treatment has been effective and continues to be so.

### 9.19.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

Immobilisation techniques are best suited for soils contaminated with metals, radionuclides, other inorganic compounds, and non- or volatile organic compounds. Volatile contaminants are typically not good candidates for solidification, as the contaminants may evaporate into the atmosphere during the mixing process. S/S processes may be applied on a wide range of fine- to coarse-grained materials.

Issues affecting the applicability of in-situ processes include how the contaminants are distributed in the ground (e.g. relatively homogeneously or very heterogeneous across the plan area or depth), the nature of the ground (e.g. mineralogy, particle size distributions, presence of putrescible materials, location and size of the contaminated ground and bearing capacity (relevant to whether heavy machines can be used)), and the hydrological regime (e.g. position of the water table).

#### 9.19.3 Technology description

The same mix combinations can in general be used for in situ and ex-situ treatment. An illustration of the in-site, and ex-situtechnique is provided in <u>Annex D</u>.

Stabilizing agents, which are often added only as a small proportion of the overall mix, that have been used include organophilic clays, activated carbon, biochar, reducing agents (e.g. ferrous sulfate to convert chromium VI to chromium III), and precipitation agents to convert soluble salts to insoluble salts (e.g. sulfates to sulfides). Binding agents include cementitious materials (e.g. Portland cement, pozzolans, hydraulic slag, and lime), polymers, silicates, and thermoplastic materials. When S/S is applied ex-situ, a degree of homogenization of the input materials is sometimes carried out before the various treatment agents are added to the mix.

There are numerous examples of material treated ex-situ being used beneficially in earthworks, e.g. filling a disused dock to form a construction platform; reclaiming land from the sea; as sub-base beneath roads and construction slabs. A variety of mixing equipment can be used for ex-situ treatments.

Depending on the depth at which treatment is required, in-situ treatment can be applied using:

- conventional agricultural techniques as used to add amendment materials (e.g. fertilizers, lime, organic matter) to cultivated land and during land reclamation;
- conventional engineering techniques as used to add amendment materials such as lime and hydraulic slags to natural ground or imported materials such as gravels and crushed rock to form a sub-base beneath roads and areas of hard-standing; and
- deep mixing using augers to form overlapping columns of treated materials (applicable to many metres depth).

#### 9.19.4 Design and dimensioning considerations

The choice of binding agents and the design of mixes are highly specific to the contaminated material to be treated and thus treatability studies are always required. Some potential contaminants (e.g. lead, zinc, phosphates, phenols) can interfere with the setting and strength development of the cementitious mix. Treatability tests are essential and can be used not only to optimize technical performance but also ways of controlling costs. The leaching and other tests should be carried out by a laboratory experienced in the use of the tests to be employed. All trials should be carried out using the materials that would be used in the full-scale application if it goes ahead. This is necessary because Portland cement and other binding agents can vary greatly in their composition and properties, and this can

affect the treatment results. Treatment agents (e.g. Portland cement, pozzolans) should comply with relevant standards and be fully characterized in terms of physical and chemical properties.

The effectiveness of S/S processes is critically dependent on the efficacy of mixing of the contaminated materials with stabilizing reagents and binder. The contaminants to be treated could at best exhibit limited solubility and be present at only very low concentrations (say less than 0,1 %) unevenly distributed through the soil. Stabilizing agents are often added only as a small proportion of the overall mix, a few percent at most, so bringing them into contact, especially in-situ, with the target contaminants will always be problematic, especially if they are solids. Hence, in many cases of S/S, it is solidification that dominates effectiveness. In addition, some proposed stabilization agents are not necessarily stable in the alkaline cement matrix.

The mineralogy of the material to be treated could sometimes be critical due to potential volume instability. For example, Portland cement can react with glass and certain siliceous materials ("alkali-silicate reaction") and sulfates, and sulfides (e.g. pyrites) can react to form sulfates which then react with the cement. These expansive reactions can take many years to become manifest so expert testing is required. Cement can also react with any aluminium metal present in the "soil" to form hydrogen. As for any cement-based material, the solidified material could be subject to external attack/ modification by carbon dioxide, sulfates, acid waters, and sea water. Some binder combinations will generally be more resistant to such attack. Inherent in these sorts of problems, is that cement-based materials can continue to develop their properties, including possibly leachability, over many years. In contrast, treatability studies will extend at most for a few months.

#### 9.19.5 Key monitoring parameters

Key monitoring parameters are similar for ex-situ and in situ immobilization techniques. The following parameters have to be determined/monitored during an immobilisation operation:

- 1) quantities of soil to be treated and the initial concentrations of contaminants;
- 2) water consumption, mixing ratio of soil and stabilisation and/or binding reagents;
- 3) leachability of treated materials (not only for substances of initial concern but also those whose mobility might be increased by the treatment, e.g. due to changes in pH); and
- 4) permeability and compressive strength of solidified material;
- 5) quality of mixing.

Verification of the quality of mixing and other performance parameters will clearly be easier for ex-situ processes and for in-situ processes when the treatment depths are shallow. Established procedures for verifying performance in engineering applications can be adapted when similar treatment processes are used. When treatment is applied at depth verification investigations will be expensive and difficult because of the need to take cores at depth.

It should be noted that in the typically alkaline environment in the setting mix, substances other than those detected in preliminary leachability tests on the material to be treated, can be solubilized, e.g. metallic aluminium dissolves reacting to evolve hydrogen, or aluminium from clay minerals is solubilized. Appropriate analytical and other tests are required during treatability studies which extend beyond the substances that were the trigger for deciding that remediation was required

#### 9.19.6 Advantages and limitations

Ex-situ S/S technologies have many advantages. First of all they can be applied to different types of soils.

They can reduce the accessibility of contaminants to the environment and stabilization also reduces availability. They improve the handling of many materials, in particular sludges and high water content materials. They also make possible synergies with ground preparation for geotechnical purposes.

NOTE They are also established technologies for dealing with hazardous wastes.

However, they have some limitations and disavandatges. They lead to mass and volume increase (and by extension increasing the masses to be landfilled and the costs associated. They are ineffective for organic compounds. They need long-term performance monitoring. They lead to potential adverse impact on the surrounding environment when treated materials are emplaced on or in the ground (e.g. pH, ORP, water contents). When treated materials are placed on, or in, the ground a covering system, and possibly vertical containment barriers could be required, e.g to limit water ingress or to enable reuse of the land.

In-situ S/S technologies generally have similar advantages and disadvantages to ex-situ treatments. However, they have some particular disadvantages:1) the longevity of a stabilization process applied at shallow depths, e.g. liming to reduce availability of phytotoxic metals to plants, could be limited and repeat applications could be required indefinitely into the future; and 2) the uncertain longevity of the in-situ S/S applied at depths, e.g., change of environmental or hydrological conditions such as infiltration of acid rain or cyclic wet-dry actions, leading to the degradation of solidified materials and/ or destabilization of the contaminants.

# 9.19.7 Specific Environmental Health and Security (EHS) aspects

Specific health protection equipment is required for the staff when handling hazardous materials including treatment agents such as Portland cement (e.g., highly alkaline and caustic and sometimes containing chromium VI which can cause or promote dermatitis). Heat generated during cement setting and hardening might cause volatilization of volatile substances. Reaction of cement with waste metals especially aluminium might cause evolution of hydrogen thus creating a hazardous atmosphere in and around mixing equipment.

In some circumstances it might be necessary to provide a cover to treated materials although in some instances this can be provided by the subsequent engineered cover, e.g. a road. In the case of agricultural and similar soils (e.g. parkland), residual risks to sites users, farm animals and the wider environment should be assessed before the treatment method is selected for application.

# 9.19.8 Possible other technique or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Solidified contaminated soil can be buried on the surface or underground, but containment in the form of barrier walls could be required to provide absolute protection against leaching and in the form of a cover system to prevent exposure of the treated material, to protect the material from weathering and to enable reuse of the land, although in some cases long-term restriction on land-uses might be required, e.g. no conversion to residential use without further remediation.

# 9.20 Excavation

# 9.20.1 Technique principle

Excavation of contaminated soil is the simplest and fastest method to remove soil contamination. The excavated contaminated soil is treated or disposed of on-site (e.g. in a repository requiring containment measures) or off-site. The excavation, i.e. the created void, is backfilled with either treated soil or uncontaminated material moved from elsewhere on the site or imported from off-site.

#### 9.20.2 Scope and applicability of the technique (operating window)

All types of contaminated soils can be excavated, regardless of grain size and contaminant content (see <u>Annex A</u>). Only contaminated soil above the groundwater level can be subject to excavation unless dewatering is first carried out. Dewatering requires groundwater extraction and measures to control the water level whilst the works proceed (e.g. groundwater monitoring, hydraulic containment, etc.). If the groundwater is contaminated with light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL), excavation only takes place once the floating layer has been removed (see <u>clause 9.10</u>). The feasibility of excavation will in part be governed by the geotechnical properties of the ground as they affect the stability of the excavation (created void, pit) itself and the stability of nearby walls and buildings.

# 9.20.3 Description of technology

Excavation is carried out using various combinations of mechanical equipment such as excavators and dump trucks depending on the scale of the excavation and the accessibility of the site for vehicles (see illustration in <u>Annex D</u>). Excavations should at all times be properly sloped, or provided with appropriate continuous temporary support. During the excavation, in the presence of dusts, volatile organic contaminants (VOC) or odours, specific measures are required (e.g. real time monitoring, mitigation measures, excavating in several phases, excavating under a tent...). The excavated soil can be stored in a (temporary) installation on site or can be immediately transported off-site to a final disposal or treatment installation.

#### 9.20.4 Design considerations and dimensioning

The design of a soil removal operation involves several steps:

- 1) calculation of the tonnage and volume of contaminated soil to be excavated and the actual volumes to be moved allowing for "bulking" (correction made using an expansion factor);
- 2) geotechnical study for assessing slope stabilities etc. and if necessary, design of temporary supporting walls and groundwater extraction systems;
- 3) excavation relying on prior identification of needed equipment, access ramps, and excavation phases;
- 4) sampling to check that removal of contaminated soil has been carried out as planned (usually the walls and bottom are sampled and analysed on an agreed pattern).

NOTE 1 If the site is large and accessible for vehicles, an access ramp could be built to permit entry of large trucks directly into the excavation pit. If such access is not possible, equipment such as dumpers and excavators descend into the pit as they work. Excavated soil is then hauled up to a temporary surface storage area prior to being picked up by trucks. On small sites, excavated soil can be lifted by a long arm backhoe and directly put on a truck or a surface platform.

NOTE 2 Excavation is possible near, in or under buildings, by employing specific civil engineering measures and using special smaller equipment.

#### 9.20.5 Key monitoring parameters

The monitoring parameters to consider or measure the effectiveness of the technique implementation are excavation and surrounding buildings stability, air quality (dusts, VOCs emission), concentration of contaminant remaining in soils in the bottom and sides of the excavation, water table and groundwater quality.

#### 9.20.6 Advantages and limitations

Excavation is a reliable fast and proven technique where the terrain is well accessible and the contaminant is located at shallow depths (ideally maximum 6-7m below ground level). When the situation becomes too complex (e.g. presence of groundwater, too many nearby buildings, presence of volatile compounds, treatment or disposal sites being too far away), project costs can grow exponentially to become prohibitive and  $CO_2$  emissions increase dramatically. Costs and environmental impacts will similarly rise if fill material has to be imported over a long distance.

#### 9.20.7 Specific Health and Safety aspects

Major potential concerns include slope stability and the release of emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and contaminated dust into the atmosphere while excavating posing important risks to on-site work activities or the surroundings. In old landfill sites where putrescible material is present, carbon dioxide and oxygen deficient air can accumulate at the base of excavations. Poorly covered loads can give rise to significant release of dust. However, movement of large numbers of large noisy trucks (lorries) through local streets can often be the major hazard to people in the locality.

# ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

Potential carryover of contamination to public roads and highways is an issue where excavation plant is operating on a site. Care must be taken to ensure that potentially contaminated material is not transported offsite (see <u>Annex C</u>).

# 9.20.8 Possible other technique or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Excavation techniques have to be combined with other(s) treatement techniques for the remadiation to be successful. In addition, water sprinklers can be used to limit the emission of dust during the excavtion. Modified foam or soil washing additives could be used for the limitation of VOC or dust emissions during the excavation of soils. If necessary, excavation can take place in a tent. This enables emissions to be contained and treated via an emission-reducing technique (see air treatment <u>clause 9.21</u>).

# 9.20.9 Possible other technique or containment approaches that can be combined with the technique

Water sprinklers could be implemented to limit the emission of dust. Some perfume can also be sprinkled to mask the pollutant odour. Modified foam or soil washing additives could be used for the limitation of VOC or dust emissions during the excavation of soils. If necessary, one could cover the excavated site with a tent. This cover enables emissions to be contained and treated via an emission-reducing technique (see off gas treatment in <u>clause 9.21.4</u>).

# 9.21 Off-Gas treatment technologies and wastewater treatment technologies

#### 9.21.1 General

Extracted groundwater or extracted gas (also called off-gas) may require treatment depending on its composition and national regulation requirements. Treatment may be necessary for wastewater and gas emissions from on site processes but also from in-situ approaches such as SVE (see <u>9.7</u>) or air-sparging (see <u>9.8</u>). Other sources of contaminated water resulting from remediation works may also require treatment. This may be the case for example as a result of dewatering of excavations, or dewatering of sludges. There are a large variety of possibles processes than can be suitable for treating different groups of contaminants depending on chemical and physical properties of the compounds in concern (see <u>Annex A</u>, <u>Annex B</u>). Specific separate processes are selected if they can treat the contaminants extracted from a particular remediation system and within a treatment train (e.g. prefiltration process of pumped groundwater contaminated with VOCs, followed by mass transfert into air by air-stripping process, and absorption of the gaseous compounds from off-gases from a stripping process onto granular activated carbon filter). Pretreatment may also be required to remove solids (particles, etc.).

#### 9.21.2 Carbon adsorption

Granular Activated Carbon Filtering (GACF) is a treatment technique that can be applied to both off-gas tratement and wastewater treatement (with contaminant in a dissolved form for wastewater (see Figure 29). GACF is suited for a wide range of organic (e.g. hydrocarbons, VOCs) and inorganic compounds (e.g. metals). Compounds present in water or air flowing through a permeable medium made of Granular Activated Carbon are adsorbed on activated carbon while purified water or air is not and are released. The activated carbon adsorbs the organic material. It also protects other water treatment units such as reverse osmosis membranes and ion exchange resins from possible damage due to oxidation or organic fouling.

Most activated carbons are made from raw materials such as nutshells, wood, coal and petroleum. Functionalized GAC is used for certain compounds (e.g. mercury with sulfur or sulfuric polymers doped GAC. Different raw materials produce different types of activated carbon varying in hardness, density, pore and particle sizes, surface areas, extractables, ash and pH. These differences in properties make certain carbons preferable over others in different applications.

Activated carbon is a proven technology for the removal of organics. The removal efficiency reaches up to 99 %. The efficiency is lower with low input concentrations.

Designing an activated carbon filtration system needs to take into account the differences in the water to be treated, the type of activated carbon used, and the waste water quality and operating parameters.

### 9.21.3 Off-Gas treatment technologies

#### 9.21.3.1 Catalytic oxidation(Electric / Gas Fired Thermal Catalytic Oxidation)

A thermal catalytic oxidizer (CATOX) relies on an electric thermal oxidation system where a catalyst is added to the process to transform the exhaust (VOCs like volatiles hydrocarbons, BTEX, VOCs, and PAHs) into  $CO_2$ , water and excess heat which can then be safely released into the atmosphere. Processing heat is near approximately 450 - 500 °C. This catalyst allows the non-halogenated VOCs and PAHs to be processed at a lower temperature which can be economically efficient as no extra energy is needed. When halogenated COVs are oxidized, the oxidizer have to be heated with continuous electricity consumption. Furthermore, HCl is produced in off-gases which is cooled producing a condensate that may be neutralized before release. Treatment capacity of 500 and 1500 m<sup>3</sup>/hour are currently available. Usually, CATOX are technically and economically efficient compared to granular activated carbon filtration for VOCs concentration over 50 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (but it depends on the air flow, the volume to treat and the duration of the treatment.

# 9.21.3.2 Biofilters

The Biofilter treatement relies on using peat, compost or soil as an air-permeable matrix to support the biodegradation of VOCs and for odour being vented through. The targeted compounds are nonhalogenated and biodegradable VOCs. It is a simple low cost process with a low input approach resulting in the destruction of the contaminants that are targetted. Like every biological technology, this process is vulnerable to poisoning and required lots of space. The efficiency of biofilter decrease as fungal growth occur in the matrix. This technology is suitable for relatively low flow rates and low concentrations.

#### 9.21.4 Wastewater treatment technologies

#### 9.21.4.1 Free product recovery

The pure products essentially recovered during pumping/skimming operations are very poorly miscible with water (LNAPL of the fuel, gasoline type; DNAPL, etc.). The principle of of the free product recovery treatment relies on separating groundwater/pure product (free product). It is based on the low miscible nature of these products as well as on the difference in density between NAPL and water. It is a well establissed simple technology.

#### 9.21.4.2 Coagulation/Flotation

Particulates and flocs present in water may be removed using floculation coagulation/treatment. In these processes small air bubbles are injected into the wastewater in a separating tank. The bubbles attach to the suspended flocs, which in turn carry them to the surface where they are removed by a surface-skimming device. Flotation is often used in ex-situ treatment for groundwater contaminated with dissolved metals. A coagulation step is operated prior to flottation to produce metallic flocs by the binding of metal with anionic polymers of hight molecular weight. Sand filtering and a pH adjustment are sometime required before coagulation. The choice of the coagulation agents depends on the metal targeted, the chemical composition of the water to be treated and the aimed metal concentration in the water out (removal efficiency). Floculation / coagulation is a well establissed treatment technology.

#### 9.21.4.3 Chemical-precipitation

Chemical-precipitation treatment relies on the transformation of dissolved contaminants into insoluble compounds using chemical reactions such as pH changing (acido-basic reactions). Targeted contaminants are metals, inorganics, hydroxides, sulphides and carbonates. The technology is simple,

relatively low cost and large volumes of contaminated water may be processed at once. The main difficulty is to maintain optimum pH conditions for all metals in complexe mixtures.

# 9.21.4.4 Neutralisation (pH)

This treatment is simple, relatively low cost and large volumes of contaminated water may be processed at once. It is based on the injection of strong acid (generally HCl) to decrease the pH or of strong-base (generally NaOH) to increase the pH for pH control. Acids and alkalis are the targeted compounds of this technology. Neutralisation may be used as a pretreatment operation prior of other physico-chemical processes where stable near neutrality pH are required (e.g. coagulation/floculation, ion exchange). Strong-acids and strong-bases are corosive solutions which have to be handled with care.

# 9.21.4.5 Air-stripping

Air-stripping can treat wastewater contaminated with dissolved organic volatile compounds. It consists of transferring the mass of dissolved compounds from water to air. Air-stripping is usually operated in pack columns or in aeration tanks. Atmospheric air is blown in these columns or tanks. The process has a removal efficiency up to 90 % depending on the compounds that are targetted. Stripping units connected in series are often necessary to reach the targeted residual concentrations when high concentration are observed in the wastewater.

With air-stripping, extracted contaminants are not destroyed. So the tratement may be followed by granular activated filtration of the air used before release in the atmosphere. The mixing of water with air produce oxidative condition in water causing 1) the shift in the calco-carbonic equilibrium and  $CaCO_3$  precipitation within the stripping units 2) Fe, Mn oxidation and precipitation with fouling/ clogging within the stripping units 3) stimulation of aerobic bacterial growth and biofouling. Packed stripping towers may be periodically emptied to remove old clogged packing (to be disposed as a waste) and be filled with a new packing. Operation costs are mainly due to electrical consumption (blower) and the maintenance and waste disposal in relation with the fouling withing stripping units. Air-stripping is a well establissed simple technology.

#### 9.21.4.6 Water filters

Filtering is a mechanical separation that relies on the particle size of the permeable filter medium that I sbeing used. Suspended particles are separated by forcing the fluid through a porous material eg gravel, sand, diatomaceous earth. The suspended particles are trapped on the surface and/or within the porous spaces. This technique is suited for contaminants attached to a particular particle size. Filter can also support biodegradation of some organic compounds (by the development within the porous media of biofilms). Filtration units are usually equipped with automated back-flush systems to remove and collect fines that have been collected. This technique is simple and well understood and is often used as pretreatment step to protected water treatment units sensitive to the presence of suspended particle (eg. Ion exchange resin). One of the min limitation is the the need to dispose of the contaminant residue.

#### 9.21.4.7 Chemical oxidation

Chemical oxidation relies on using ozone, or Hydrogen peroxide, or permanganate, or persulfate, or ultraviolet light, etc. to degrade by oxidation the targetted contaminants (inorganics, and VoCs). The limitations are it may be incomplete and intermediate contaminants may be found, fouling and high suspended particles may interfere when UV irradiation is used.

#### 9.21.4.8 Ion exchange

The principle of this technique is to remove dissolved ions from water by exchanging ions in the exchange resin ( $H^+$ ,  $Na^+$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ) (columns of resin) with contaminant ions in the contaminated water. Ion exchange is usually used to process water contaminated with metals and other inorganics like nitrate, ammonia, perchlorate and chlorate, silicates. The main advantage of the technique is the availibility of specific resins for specific contaminants (selective resins). Contaminants aren't destroyed

in ion exchange (mass transfer from water into the resins) and used resins must be regenerated (with the production of waste water to be disposed or destroyed) or disposed of. Other limitations are the high operation costs of the technique and the risks of fouling within ion exchange resins.

#### 9.21.4.9 Reverse osmosis - ultrafiltration techniques

Reverse osmosis-ultrafiltration is based on the separation of a solvent from a solution by application of an external pressure across a semi-permeable membrane to reverse the normal osmotic flow. It results in water flowing from the side of high solute concentration to the side of low solute concentration, thereby increasing the concentration of the solute on one side of the membrane. The scope of reverse osmosis process are inorganic dissolved in water at low concentrations. The main advantage of the technique is his high removal efficiency, but it requires stringent pH control and the technique doesn't destroy the contaminants. The concentrate process residu has to be destoyed or disposed of. Furthermore, there is a risk of fouling of the membrane and required high energy consumption.

#### 9.21.4.10 Biodegradation: rotating biocontactors

After the removals of solid particles present in the water, waste water is brought into contact with a microbial biofilm and the atmospheric air. Contactors are rotated to ensure membrane oxidated whilst in contact with waste stream. Targeted compounds are biodegradable organics and inorganics like alcohols, phenols, phtalates, cyanides, ammonia. The main limitation of this process is the limited range of treatable contaminants and his vulnerability to poisoning eg by a spike in contaminant locally.

#### 9.21.4.11 Biodegradation: polishing in sand & gravel filters

This technique is based on the colonisation by indigenous micro-organisms of sand/gravel filters to promote biodegradation of some organic compounds as well as reductions in BOD / COD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand / Chemical Oxygen Demand). This technic is applied on various organics present dissolved in water. It's a simple low tech process with a low imput approach resulting in the destruction of the contaminants of concern. Like every biological technology, this process is vulnerable to poisoning.

#### 9.21.4.12 Evaporation

Evaporation treatment is used to separate a clean condensate from a contaminated concentrate using processes such as pervaporation (evaporation accross an organophilic membrane). This technique is mainly applied on wastewater contaminated with solvents. Its advantage is to be able to reduce the volume of the waste treatement to be treated. Its limitations are high costs and poor availibility.

# Annex A

(informative)

listing of potential feasible remediation options

Table A.1 — a)

Source adapted from [<u>6</u>]

Clause	Technique	Mechanism	Treatable Contaminants	Concentrations
<u>9.1</u>	In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)	Oydizer are injected in the soil or the soil malaxed with such additives. Chemical reactions or free radicals destroy contaminants on contact.	Treats wide range of contaminants	Treats moderate to high concen- trations
9.2	In-Situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR)	Reductors as zero-valent iron or other metals or alloy are injected in the soil or the soil malaxed with such additives. Contaminants is chemically reduced or precipitated	Treats specific chlorinated contaminanys (PCE, TCE, DCE and VC)	Treats moderate to low concen- trations
<u>9.3</u>	Enhanced In-Situ Bi- oremediation (EISB)	Microorganisms transform contaminants of concern to innocuous byproducts under aerobic condition	Treats petroleum hydrocarbons and other compounds including MTBE	Treats moderate to low concen- trations
<u>9.3</u>	Accelerated Anaerobic In-situ Bioremediation	Microorganisms transform contaminants of concern to innocuous byproducts under anoxic condition (Accelerated Anaerobic In-situ Bioremediation)	Treats Chlorinated VOCs	Treats moderate to low concen- trations
<u>9.4</u>	Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)	Contaminants are degradated or depleted under natural bio- and/or physical- chemical conditions.	Treats all (bio)degradable contaminants especially dissolved hydrocarbons. Treats light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL) if Natural Source Zone Depletion (NSZD)	Treats high to low concentrations
<u>9.5</u>	In-Situ Thermal Remediation (ISTR)	Low temperature (100°) is delivered to subsurface to drive the contaminant to the collecting wells (Venting or multi phase extraction)	Treats volatile and semivolatiles com- pounds	Treats moderate to high concen- trations
<u>9.5</u>	In-Situ Thermal desorption	High temperature (600°) is delivered to subsurface to trans- form the contaminant into soil gas or vapourand collect it through wells	Treats wide range of contaminants includ- ing COVs, mercury and various POPs	Treats moderate to very high concentrations
<u>9.5</u>	In-Situ Combustion	Ignition Temperature (600°) and air is delivered to subsur- face to initiate in-situ flameless combustion. the contami- nants become the source of energy	Treats petroleum hydrocarbons and other compounds including MTBE	Treats high to moderate concen- tration
<u>9.6</u>	On site Thermal desorption	High temperature (600°) remove volatile contaminants from excavated soil by volatilization or pyrolysis processes. This is done in controled conditions	Treats wide range of contaminants includ- ing mercury and various POPs	Treats moderate to high concen- trations
<u>9.7</u>	Soil Vapour Extraction (SVE)	Volatile contaminantss are removed from the soil by sucking out? the soil gaz	Treats Volatile compounds	Treats moderate to low concen- trations
<u>9.8</u>	Air sparging	Air is injected under pressure into thegroundwater. Volatile contaminants are transfered to the compressed air and pumped by a Soil Vapour Extraction	Treats Volatile compounds	Treats moderate to high concen- trations
<u>6.9</u>	Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE)	Contaminants are removed by three phase (LNAPL/DNAPL and dissolved and soil vapour) by an high-vacuum extrac- tion system	Treats light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL) or dense light non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPL) liquids as petroleum hy- drocarbons and halogenated volatiles	Treats moderate to high concen- trations

Clause	Technique	Mechanism	Treatable Contaminants	Concentrations
<u>9.10</u>	Dual pUmp/liquid extraction	Contaminants are removed by two phase (LNAPL/DNAPL and dissolved) by pumping wells	Treats light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL) or dense light non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPL) liquids as petroleum hy- drocarbons and halogenated volatiles	Treats moderate to high concen- trations
<u>9.11</u>	Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation	Groundwater is pumped to collect and treat the contaminants in the dissolved phase	Treats wide range of dissolved contami- nants	Treats high to low concentrations
<u>9.11</u>	Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation	Create a hydraulic dynamic containment of the contaminant plume in the groundwater or withdraw the water table	All dissolved contaminants	Treats high to low concentrations
<u>9.12</u>	Soil washing	Soil are excavated and washed with a liquid (water or sol-vent).	Treats a wide variety of organic and inor- ganic contaminants	Treats moderate to high concen- trations
<u>9.13</u>	Biopiling	Soil are excavated and spreaded on waterproof support and then promoting aerobic biodegradation through compost, structuring agent and growing agent additives	Treats petroleum hydrocarbons and other compounds including BTEX	Treats moderate to low concen- trations
<u>9.14</u>	Landfarming	Soil are excavated and spreaded on field through conven- tional aerobic biodegradation farming technique	Treats petroleum hydrocarbons and other compounds including BTEX	Treats moderate to low concen- trations
<u>9.15</u>	Incineration	Very High temperature remove contaminants from exca- vated soil by pyrolysis processes. This is done in controled conditions	Treats wide range of contaminants includ- ing mercury and various POPs	Treats moderate to very high concentrations
<u>9.16</u> and <u>9.17</u>	Physical passive containments	Horizontal containments prevents contacts with the contaminants and infiltration of rain or evaporation of the contaminants. Vertical sealing prevents the contaminants to lspred out.	Trats a wide range of non volatiles contam- inants can be enclosed especiallt heavy metals, cyanides, dioxin, explosives, POPS.	Treats very high to low concen- trations
<u>9.18</u>	Permeable reactive barriers (PRB) systems	A vertical permeable zone made of reactive materials treats contaminants in the groundwater	Traet various dissolved contaminants as chlorinated solvents and redox sensitives heavy letal(oids)	Treats medium to low concentra- tions
<u>9.19</u>	Immobilisation technique for soil and solid materials	Contaminants are promoted in a stable structure by a chem- ical reaction, complexation or capture in a polymer.	Treats various metal(oids) contaminents as Cr6+ and some organics as dioxins or phosphorus products	Treat all concentration
<u>9.20</u>	Excavation	Physical removal of contaminants	Treats all contaminants	Treat all concentration
9.21	Off-Gas treatment technologies and wastewater treatment technologies	Combined physical chemical biological process on extracted gaz or water	Various contaminants	Treats high to low concentrations
				source adapted from [ <u>o</u>
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------
Clause	Technique	Time	Conditions	Applications Frequency
<u>9.1</u>	In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)	Week to months	Saturated and unsaturated zones	Multiple applications required
<u>9.2</u>	In-Situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR)	Week to months	Saturated and unsaturated zones	Multiple applications required. Single application common
<u>9.3</u>	Enhanced In-Situ Bioremediation (EISB)	Months to a year	Saturated zone	Every 6-12 months, as needed. Single application common
<u>9.3</u>	Accelerated Anaerobic In-situ Biore- mediation	Years	Saturated zone	Multiple applications required
9.4	Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)	Months to decade	Saturated and unsaturated zones	Requiere monitoring every 6-12 months, as needed.
<u>9.5</u>	In-Situ Thermal Remediation (ISTR)	Years	Saturated and unsaturated zones	Single application
<u>9.5</u>	In-Situ Thermal desorption	Months to a year	Unsaturated zone	Single application
<u>9.5</u>	In-Situ Combustion	Months to a year	Saturated and unsaturated zones	Single application
<u>9.6</u>	On site Thermal desorption	Week to months	Unsaturated zone	Single application
<u>9.7</u>	Soil Vapour Extraction (SVE)	Months to years	Unsaturated zone	Single application
<u>9.8</u>	Air sparging	Months to years	Saturated zone	Single application
<u>6.9</u>	Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE)	Months to years	Saturated and unsaturated zones	Single application
<u>9.10</u>	Dual pUmp/liquid extraction	Years	Saturated and unsaturated zones	Single application
<u>9.11</u>	Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation	Years to decades	Saturated zone	Single application
<u>9.11</u>	Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation	Years to decades	Saturated zone	Requiere monitoring
<u>9.12</u>	Soil washing	Weaks to months	Unsaturated zone	Single application
<u>9.13</u>	Biopiling	Weaks to years	Unsaturated zone	Single application
<u>9.14</u>	Landfarming	Months to years	Unsaturated zone	Single application
9.15	Incineration	Weeks to months	Unsaturated zone	Single application
<u>9.16</u> and <u>9.17</u>	Physical passive containments	Weaks	Unsaturated zone	Requiere monitoring every 3-6 months, as needed.
<u>9.18</u>	Permeable reactive barriers (PRB) systems	Years to decade	Saturated zone	Single application

Table A.1 — b)

Source adapted from [6]

Table A.1 (continued)         echnique       Time       Conditions       Applications Frequency         technique for soil and       Weeks to months       Unsaturated zone       Single application         Short times days to week       Saturated and unsaturated zones       Single application
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Vaxelaire S., Colombano S., et Ménard Y., avec la collaboration de Coftier A. (2011) – SOLENV – Évaluation environnementale des technologies de traitement de sols et eaux souterraines pollués, évaluation des impacts secondaires. BRGM/RP-60386-FR, 198 p., 32 fig., 48 tab., 2 ann.

Belbeze S., Lai F. (2019) - SOLENV2 - Outil d'évaluation environnementale des technologies de traitement de sols et des eaux souterraines pollués. BRGM/RP-70097-FR, 250 p., 89 fig., 49 tab., 1 ann.

# Annex B

## (informative)

## Contaminant families versus potential remediation techniques

Table B.1 — a)

	trate and nonium		•		X	;	•		×	•	•	×	•	×	ć	
	ite N rate amr															-
apun	Chlora and perchlo		•	•	X	<i>.</i>	•	•	×	×	:	X	×	X	ż	
ganic compo	Cyanid and cyano- compounds (isocy- anate,)	•	•	•	X	•	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
ients and inoi	Elemental mercury (Hg°) and mercury inorganic compounds		:		•	•	•	×	×	X	X	X	X	X	2	
Elen	Metals (Pb, Cr, Cu, Cd, Ni, Zn,) and metal- loids (As, Sb, Te,)	•	•	•	X		•	×	X	X	X	X	X	•	•	
	Asbestos	Asbestos		X	•	×	×	×	X	×	X	X	×	X		
	Reference	9,16	9,16	9,11	6,7	9,18	9,4	9,13	9,7	9,3	9,3	9,8	9,14	9,1	9,2	
	Remediation technique	Horizontal passive confinement - capping	Vertical passive confinement	Dynamic and hy- draulic confinement (Pump & treat)	Dynamic and pneu- matic confinement (Soil Vapor Extrac- tion, SVE)	In Situ Immobiliza- tion via stabiliza- tion / solidification	Natural attenuation	Biopiling	Bioventing	Enhanced aerobic biodegradation	Enhanced anaerobic biodegradation	Biosparging	Landfarming / composting	In Situ Chemical oxidation (ISCO)	Chemical reduction (ISCR)	
	Remediation principle	Physical				Physical and chemical (for stabilization)				Biological					Chemical	
	Targeted media/ matrix	Soil / unsaturated zone	Soil / unsaturated zone	Groundwater / saturated zone	Soil gas / unsaturat- ed zone	Solid matrix (satu- rated, unsaturated zone)	Groundwater / saturated zone	Soil	Soil	Groundwater and soil	Groundwater	Groundwater and soil	Soil	Groundwater	Groundwater	
	Where remedie action takes place	In situ without excavation	In Situ without excavation	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ	On site / off site	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ	On Site	In Situ	In Situ	
	Objectives and ap- proach for remediation strategy		Protection	measures and cut-off of con-	taminants migration pathways							Removal or destruction	or trans- formation of targeted	pollutant within the	source of pollution (remedia-	tion [

6
00
2
~
~
1
5
$\sim$
0
<i>'</i> O
$\sim$
_
-
3.1
B.1
e B.1
le B.1
ole B.1
ible B.1
able B.1
Table B.1

	Nitrate and ammonium	•	X	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	•	
spur	Chlorate and perchlorate	•••	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	•	
rganic compou	Cyanid and cyano- compounds (isocy- anate,)	•	X	X	×	X	•	X	X	X	•	
nents and ino	Elemental mercury (Hg°) and mercury inorganic compounds	•	X	X	×	X	X	•	X	X	•	
Elen	Metals (Pb, Cr, Cu, Cd, Ni, Zn,) and metal- loids (As, Sb, Te,)	•	X	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	•	
	Asbestos	×	X	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	X	
	Reference	9,11	6'6	9,1	9'8	2'6	9,15	9'6	9'6	9,6	9,17	studied
	Remediation technique	Pump & Treat	Multi Phase Extrac- tion (MPE)	Dual pump liquid extraction (DPLE)	Air-sparging	Venting, Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE)	Incineration	In Situ Thermal Desorption (high T°C)	In Situ Combustion (high T°C)	In Situ Thermal Remediation (low T°C)	Permeable barrier (biological, phys- ical)	/ 🗷 unsuitable / ? un
	Remediation principle		Special design for in situ treat- ment	feasability studies								
	Targeted media/ matrix	Groundwater	Groundwater	Groundwater	Groundwater	Groundwater	Soil / solid matrix	Soil	Soil	Soil	Groundwater	ble subject to specific
	Objectives and ap- proach for remediation strategy		In Situ		In Situ	In Situ	On site / off site after excavation	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ	le, suited / 🔶 Applica
												••• Applicabi

able B.1 –	q
able B.1	
[able]	<b>B.1</b>
ab	le ]
	ľab

Table B.1 (continued)

Organic compounds	Non volatiles	H H MTBE/ leum Hydro- carbons bons pounds f MTBE/ leum Hydro- car- com- pounds pounds pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound pound poun	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								: 2 X X X X X X X X X					
	es	al- ted ile MTBE/ leum car- MTBA hydrc (as Carboi	•		•	X	•	•	•	•	×	X	•	•	•	•	•
	Volatil	Halo- Non h genated ogenat volatile volati organic hydroc com- bons ( BTE)	•	×		×		•		×			•		•	•	
		Reference	6,8	9,14	9,1	9,2	9,12	9,11	6'6	9,1	9,8	6,7	9,15	9'6	9'6	9,6	9,17
		Remediation technique	Biosparging	Landfarming / composting	In Situ Chemical oxidation (ISCO)	Chemical reduction (ISCR)	Soil-Washing	Pump & Treat	Multi Phase Extrac- tion (MPE)	Dual pump liquid extraction (DPLE)	Air-sparging	Venting, Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE)	Incineration	In Situ Thermal Des- orption (high T°C)	In Situ Combustion (high T°C)	In Situ Thermal Remediation (low T°C)	Permeable barrier (biological, phys- ical)
		Remedia- tion principle				Chemical						Physical					Special design for in situ treat- ment
		Targeted media / matrix	Groundwater and soil	Soil	Groundwater	Groundwater	Soil	Groundwater	Groundwater	Groundwater	Groundwater	Groundwater	Soil / solid matrix	Soil	Soil	Soil	Groundwater
		Where remedie action takes place	In Situ	On Site	In Situ	In Situ	On Site (after excavation)	In Situ	In Situ		In Situ	In Situ	On site / off site after exca- vation	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ	In Situ
		Objectives and ap- proach for remediation strategy	Objectives     nd ap- and ap- proach for emediation       proach for emediation     action       strategy     action       strategy     formation       or trans- formation     or trans- formation       of targeted     pollutant       pollution     pollution       trenedia- tion meas-     0n g														

ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

a)
<b>B.2</b>
ble
Ta

					Elements and in	organic compoun	ds	
	Principle of treatment technique	Remediation technique	Asbestos	Heavy metals (Pb, Cr, Cu, Cd, Ni, Zn,) and metalloids (As, Sb, Te,)	Elemental mercury (Hg°) and mercury inorganic com- pounds	Cyanid and cyano- compounds (isocyanate,)	Chlorate and perchlorate	Nitrate and ammonium
		Ion exchange resins	S	•	•	•	•	•
		Granular Activated Carbon filtering	S	•	•	•	S	S
	Physical	Air-stripping	S	S	S	S	S	S
Groundwater treatment		Inverse Osmosis and membrane filtration technique	S	•	•	•	•	
technique	[ coincel]	Oxidation (0 <sub>3</sub> )	S	S	S	S	S	S
(on site)	CILCULICAT	Floculation / precipitation / filtration	S	•	•	•	S	S
	Diological	Bioreactor	S	•	S	•	•	•
	DIUIUGICAI	Rhizofiltration	S	S	S	S	S	S
		Granular Activated Carbon filtering	S	S	•	S	S	S
<b>Off-gases</b>	Physical	Catalytic oxidation (CATOX)	S	S	S	S	S	S
treatment techniques (on		Condensation	S	S	•	S	S	S
site)	Thermal	Open - burning (flare) / internal combustion	S	S	S	S	S	S
	Biological	Biofiltration	S	S	S	S	S	S

Table B.2 — b)

						Ground- water	treatment	technique (on site)				Off-oases	treatment	techniques (on site)	
		Principle of treatment technique			Physical			Chemical	Dicloritor	DIUIUGICAI		Physical		Thermal	Biological
		Remediation technique	Ion exchange resins	Granular Activated Carbon filtering	Air-stripping	Inverse Osmosis and membrane filtration technique	$0$ xidation $(0_3)$	Floculation / precipitation / filtration	Bioreactor	Rhizofiltration	Granular Activated Carbon filtering	Catalytic oxidation (CATOX)	Condensation	Open - burning (flare) / internal combustion	Biofiltration
		Halogenat- ed volatile organic compounds	S	•	•	S		S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	Volatiles	Non halogen- ated volatile hydrocarbons inc BTEX	S	•••	•	S		S	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•
		MTBE / MTBA	S	•	S	S		S	•	2	S	S	S	S	S
Organic compoun		Petroleum hydrocar- bons	S	•	S	S		S	•	•	S	S	S	S	S
		Polyar- omatic Hydrocar- bons	S	•••	S	S		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
nds	Non vo	Energetic nitroaro- matic compounds	S	•••	S	S		S	•	S	S	S	S	S	S
	latiles	PCB and PCB - Dioxin-like	S	•	S	S		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
		PCDD, PCDF / PBDD PBDF	S	S	S	S		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
		Pesticides and herbicides	S	•	S	S		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

## Annex C

## (informative)

# Examples of measures to assist in the risk management process of health and safety at remediation sites

ſ	<u>×</u>	y y	
	Remote or isolate wor	<ul> <li>Arranging schedult welfare checks, where the alarm is raised automaticall if a worker fails to check in;</li> <li>Preparing a work schedul a +b at</li> </ul>	mitigates fatigue, including when driving; Arranging regular calls with family, friends or co- workers to relieve loneliness and boredom;
ł		• •	•
	Outdoor work	Changing work practices to avoid adverse weather Rearranging the work schedule to avoid exacerbating adverse weather	
┟		• •	
	Carryover into public areas	<ul> <li>selecting different equipment, such as a smaller excavator or a different type c tread or tyre</li> </ul>	
	e	me	
	Contaminated wast	<ul> <li>changing work methods avoid generating contaminated waste or reduce/ minimise the volu generated</li> </ul>	
ľ		~	e ct ns
	Offensive or noxious odours	covering exposed surfaces during periods of low excavation activity not stockpiling odorous materials unless closely	cower equation completely covering the area o excavation (e.g. wit a large tent) treating (using adsorption, therma or filtration methods) all controlled emission (e.g. during bioremediation, ain sparging or produc recovery), immediately removing offensive odorous material offsite
$\left  \right $		• •	• • •
	Dust	light application of a water spray to dampen the soil but not saturate it spraying binders and a hydro-mulch	aulch, coarse sand and dolomite vegetative cover - grassing use of groundcovers, such as tarps or geofabrics
		• • •	• •
	Chemical contaminants	use of plant and equipment to handle contaminated media provide appropriate training for staff	
		• •	

Table C.1 — a) Examples of mitigation measures for specific hazards source – level 1 eliminate: [x Australian guidance]

lce)	
ž	
<b>—</b>	
.9	
3	
50	
600	
σ	
10	
H	
4	
<u> </u>	
e	
=	
g	
E	
·:=	
E	
-	
9	
-	
_	
Ð	
5	
٥J	
ľ	
1	
e e	
<u> </u>	
0	
S	
5	
÷.	
2	
n n	
g	
Р	
<b>ப</b>	
. H	
J.	
5	
5	
ž	
fo	
s fo	
es fo	
res fo	
ures fo	
sures fo	
asures fo	
easures fo	
neasures fo	
measures fo	
n measures fo	
on measures fo	
ion measures fo	
tion measures fo	
ation measures fo	
gation measures fo	
tigation measures fo	
itigation measures fo	
nitigation measures fo	
mitigation measures fo	
f mitigation measures fo	
of mitigation measures fo	
s of mitigation measures fo	
es of mitigation measures fo	
les of mitigation measures fo	
ples of mitigation measures fo	
nples of mitigation measures fo	
mples of mitigation measures fo	
amples of mitigation measures fo	
xamples of mitigation measures fo	
Examples of mitigation measures fo	
) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
· b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
<ul> <li>b) Examples of mitigation measures for</li> </ul>	
- b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
1-b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
1-b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
C.1 — b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
e C.1 — b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
de C.1 — b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
ble C.1 — b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
able C.1 — b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	
Table C.1 — b) Examples of mitigation measures fo	

Se	rvices and pipeline work	Ground excavation	Hazardous chemicals and dangerous goods	Hazardous manual tasks potential	Plant and equipment	Noise	Ground stability
•	Changing the location of	Seeking the advice     of a geotechnical	Substituting with     another method (e.g.	Changing work     practices to	Using machinery     designed and built	Ceasing to use	Geotechnical testing prior to commencing
	excavations to avoid services,	engineer prior to excavations.	using nails instead of chemical-based	eliminate the hazard (e.g. using equipment	to produce low noise	Changing the way work is carried out	works;
	Tamoraru	Installing harrisodas	adhesives);	that comes in smaller).	Daducina tha numbar	so hazardous noise is	Changing equipment
•	or permanent disconnection of the	or fences to prevent arcidental ingress:	Substituting with a     different form of the	Changing work	of plants on site at	muchine	to avoid the use of heavy plant and equinment:
	service.		product (e.g. using	practices to	•	Substituting the	(mound mbo
		<ul> <li>Not entering</li> </ul>	pre-mixed or diluted	substituting	Using that is safer in	hazard with plant or	
		excavations, unless	chemicals instead of	the hazard with	the circumstances	processes that are	
		appi upi late cuill uis are in place, at all	diluting chemicals at	rise to a lesser risk	drill instead of an	hurerer	
		if there is seepage	the site)	(e.g. replacing hand	electric drill if the		
		occurring, at all if		tools with power	power cord is in		
		there is evidence of unstable walls or		the level of force	danger of being cut);		
		previous collapse		required to do the	, Constructing a booth		
				task);	from which the plant can be onerated		
				<ul> <li>Isolating the hazard</li> </ul>	remotely.		
				from any person exposed to it (e.g.			
				isolating vibrating			
				machinery from the user providing fully			
				independent seating			
				on mobile plant)			

	ł	of of
	late woi	least e work equate fi s availab bated ondition nstant a cating cating cating cating cating cating cating cating supplies supplies
	te or iso	aving at vo peopl ogether; or anticit or anticit evice in o mergenc mergenc maring en dend and v ood and v
	remo	Rowl edswith a Rice and
e: [x		tion t
ourc	/ork	taking protec rerse ndition and toile orkers e and igns in or
uce S	door v	ter for lks; ter for nst adv ther co ther co titles; ities; n the sat stra sat stra otherm
redı	Out	Shel- bread Shel- agai wead Acce wasl facil trai to re acto of he hypo
vel 2		· · · ·
e – le	oublic	k mit soil hicles
ds source	r into p reas	ng wor ds to lij g to ve
os sp.	ryovei ai	changi methoo clingin
azar	Car	•
ific h	ste	ent can ated iuse
spec	ted wa	i differ tamina fore re ial
s for	aminat	uipmei decon dispos dispos
sure	Conta	on on or
mea	IS	area ous le her b).
tion	noxioı s	g the urface : .y. noxio .g. use nediati ather ad-scal ad-scal o o ffsite e.g. lower res or res or res or
nitiga	ive or odour	imisin osed su dorous eerial (e ged ren reagy ra n a bro roach) ing exc vities t imise e sance, ertaki ourable ditions mes of peratu
s of n	Offens	min exp exp exp stra stra stra stra than appa nuix nuix nuix nuix favo con con favo favo favo favo favo faro faro faro faro faro faro faro far
plea		
Exam		raffic on s xposec s b surfac t s urfac t as t t as t t as
– a) I	ust	ising t s speed s speed ising e ising l ising l ising l is mo ct the sit is mo ct the sit s to ac reaks,
C.2 –	D	minim and its expose expose during during norbing partic the soi compa rolling partic the soi compa vindb
able		
Ţ		nent with and to ial for trks, water ng iys
	uical inants	ntainn parrels potent e potent e ng dus arthwc 'using c'limiti calm dź
	Chem	te of co tch as t ls, tarp vered rourt reventi tring ea ther by vrays of ork to c
	ũ	<pre>&lt; dig = 0</pre>

iii
rc
no
e S
nc
ed
L N
el
ev
Т
eo.
In
SC
<b>ds</b>
zai
ha
ic
cif
be
IT S
5 fo
res
su
lea
u n
ior
gat
itig
Ĩ
of
les
np
xar
E
- a)
C.2
le
ab
L

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

.

X
rce
no
e S
nc
ed
2 r
el
lev
Ī
rce
Inc
S S(
rd
za
ha
fic
ci
spe
Dr 9
s fc
re
ISU
ıea
1 II
ior
gat
itig
m
of
les
lqn
can
EX
<b>q</b>
0.2
le (
abl
Ĥ

ground stability	<ul> <li>Changing work methods to avoid excessive vibrating excessive vibrating support unstable ground escuring asuitable distance from the lip;</li> <li>Backfilling excavations as soon as practicable</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul> <li>Using engineering controls to modify equipment or processes (such as mufflers); mufflers);</li> <li>Isolating the sourc of noise from peop by using distance, barriers, enclosures;</li> <li>Deploying sound-absorbing surfaces</li> </ul>
Plant and equipment	Using the smallest plant capable of performing the task Using barriers to separate plant from pedestrian Installing guards to prevent contact with moving parts of machinery; or Installing automatic systems (e.g. automatic tarpaulin activators on haulage trucks)
_	•••••
Hazardous manua tasks	use of mechanica lifting aids
Hazardous chemicals and dangerous goods	<ul> <li>substituting a hazardous chemical hazardous chemical that is less hazardous and presents lower risks</li> <li>Substituting with a different form of the product</li> <li>Enclosing the product</li> <li>Enclosing the product</li> <li>Enclosing the product (e.g. using a fume cupboard);</li> <li>Distancing workers from hazardous chemicals</li> <li>Isolating chemicals from other chemicals</li> <li>Isolating chemicals from other chemicals</li> <li>Vapour capture systems, particularly on specialised remediation equipment;</li> <li>Chemical storage cupboards that comply with relevant legislation;</li> <li>Fume hoods;</li> </ul>
Ground excavation	Limiting the number and depth of excavations open at any one time; Backfilling excavations as soon as practicable; Benching or battering the sides of the excavation to reduce the risk of ground collapse; Installing shoring in trenches to reduce the risk of collapse; Placing spoil away from the lip of the excavation to reduce load; Not leaving an excavation open overnight. If it cannot be backfilled, cover with road plates or similar;
ine	
Services and pipeli work	<ul> <li>Utilisation of hand excavatior (shovels or hand augers) prior to or instead of the use of mechanic excavators;</li> <li>Non-destructive drilling to confinithe presence/absence of a suspected serviprior to the use of mechanical excavators</li> </ul>

	Noise	Reduce the time people are exposed to noise; Restricting access to noisy areas; or Appropriate signage. Appropriate PPE, including ear-muffs and/or ear- plugs.
[v] · morani	Plant and equipment	Implementing a tag-out, lock out system to ensure that Workers are aware that the plant is isolated from its power source and must not be operated while must not be operated while maintenance or cleaning work is being done; or signage to indicate that plant or equipment is operating. PPE, including heat resistant clothing or material, gloves, Hard hats; hearing protectors; and protective eyewear.
	Hazardous manual tasks potential	Implementing administrative controls (e.g. by rotating workers between different tasks to reduce exposure); or PPE (e.g. gloves).
	Hazardous chemicals and dangerous goods	Requiring doors to be open; Use of portable gas detectors to monitor the local atmosphere. Appropriate signage; Training of workers; Restricting personnel allowed into high risk areas to those who high risk areas to those who high risk areas to those who being used being used
	ground excavation	<ul> <li>Installing</li> <li>warning signs and flagging</li> <li>mear</li> <li>excavations; and</li> <li>Use of PPE</li> <li>such as hard</li> <li>and fall</li> <li>arrestors.</li> </ul>
101 62 1060211	Outdoor work	providing     appropriate     PE for hot     weather     or cold     weather
	Carryover into public areas	<ul> <li>Imiting vehicle access to bare soil, and mobile plant and equipment prior to leaving site.</li> </ul>
condumper	Contaminated waste	<ul> <li>complying with the specific handling and transport require- ments of waste</li> </ul>
	Offensive or nox- ious odours	• PPE such as respirators
	Chemical contaminants	use of personal protective equipment such as gloves, full length clothing and safety glasses requiring washing of hands prior to smoking, eating or drinking

Table C.3 — Examples of mitigation measures for specific hazards source – level 3 manage behaviour: [x]

## Annex D (informative)

### Examples of illustrative diagrams of remediation techniques

WARNING — All the diagrams presented are only examples. There can be variations for most of the techniques that are not illustrated.

#### In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO)



#### Figure D.4 — *In situ* chemical oxidation (ISCO) applied to contamination present in the saturated zone (Source: [10])

Figure D.5 — *In situ* chemical oxidation (ISCO) applied to contamination present in the unsaturated zone (Source: [10])

NOTE 1 Figure D.4 is an illustration of the ISCO method using injection wells, with the oxidant being injected into the soil with the use of pressure which requires vertical injection wells.

NOTE 2 Figure D.5 is an illustration of the ISCO method making the oxidant coming into contact with the contaminant by (deep) soil mixing (i.e. soil is mixed with the oxidant with the use of auger drills).



#### In-Situ Chemical Reduction (ISCR)

Figure D.6 — *In-situ* chemical reduction principle ISCR Source: [10]

#### Enhanced In-Situ Bioremediation (EISB)



NOTE This illustration is based on an example of contamination by VOCs

Figure D.7 — Enhanced In-Situ Bioremediation (EISB) Source: [10]



Degradation/dispersion/dilution

Figure D.8 — Monitored Natural attenuation principle scheme over time Source: [10]

## Monitored natural attenuation



#### In-Situ Thermal Remediation (ISTR)



#### ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

#### **On-site thermal desorption**



Figure D.12 — Onsite thermal desorption principle scheme Source: [10] modified

NOTE This diagram illustrates an example of ex situ desorption technique applied to excavated contaminated soil. It is not shown on the figure, but usually the excavated contaminated soil is processed in a pre-treatment unit (e.g. griding, mixing) prior being inserted in the dryer. The dust treatment unit (for smoke particles) can contain filters, wet dust collectors and /or electrostatic precipitators. In gas treatment unit can remove organic compound by either destruction (burning, catalytic oxidation) or fixation (activated carbon, condenser).



#### Soil Vapour Extraction (SVE) or « venting » and possible bioventing technique





Air sparging



Figure D.15 — Air-sparging principle scheme - Source: [10]

 Burgerson
 Burgerson

 State
 Burgerson

 Burgerson
 Burgerson</t

Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE) (Slurping)

Figure D.16 – MPE slurping - source: [10]

**Dual pump liquid extraction (DPLE)** 



#### Figure D.17 — Dual pump liquid extraction -Source: [<u>10</u>]



Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation

Figure D.18 — - Hydraulic techniques for groundwater remediation - Source: [10]







#### Figure D.20 — Biopiling source: [10]

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

#### ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

#### Landfarming



Figure D.21 — Landfarming principle scheme source: [10]



Figure D.22 — Incineration principle scheme source: [10]

#### ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)

#### Vertical barrier technology (VBT)





#### С

#### Key

- 1 Groundwater flow direction
- 2 Wall
- 3 Borehole
- 4 Contaminated zone
- 5 Groundwater
- A Perimeter wall
- B Upstream wall
- C Downstream wall

#### Figure D.23 — Vertical barrier technology (VBT) source: [10]

NOTE There a grand veriety of possible design for VBT techniques. These illustrations are proposing a selection of options.



#### Cover systems



NOTE These are three illustrations of possible "horizontal cover systems".

Permeable reactive barrier (PRB) system





#### ISO/DIS 24212:2023(E)



#### Immobilisation techniques for soil and solid materials



# Figure D.26 — *In situ* immobilisation techniques principle scheme – source: [10]

Figure D.27 — *Ex situ* immobilisation techniques principle scheme – source: [10]

NOTE Figure 26 is a cement based example. Note that other solidifying agents or stabilizing agents can be used to replace the cement. Also other containments for the disposal of the waste can be used.

#### Excavation



Figure D.28 — Excavation principle scheme source: [10]

NOTE 1 The diagram illustrates the situation when the site is marge and is accessible for vehicle. The tent and air treatment unit are not always necessary.

NOTE 2 The equipment used in the tent must be intrinsically safe if flammable VOCs are present (i.e. internal combustion engines not permitted). Electric, compressed air, or hydraulic power should be used. The vehicle cab must have an independent air-supply, e.g. via a hose to air external to the tent.



#### Example of wastewater and gas treatment using carbon adsorption technology

Figure D.29 — Waste water and gas treatment using Granular Activated Carbon Filtering (GACF) technology - source: [<u>10</u>]

## **Bibliography**

- [1] ISO 21365, Soil quality Conceptual site models for potentially contaminated sites
- [2] ISO 18504, Soil quality Sustainable remediation
- [3] Model procedures for the management of land contamination Contaminated Land Report 11. (2004) – Environment Agency – now superseded.
- [4] CRC CARE, 2019, Remediation Action Plan: Development Guideline on performing costbenefit and sustainability analysis, National Remediation Framework, CRC for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, Newcastle, Australia.
- [5] Elaboration des bilans coûts-avantages adaptés aux contextes de gestion des sites et sols pollués (mars 2017) ADEME, UPDS
- [6] BELBEZE S., LAI F., 2019) SOLENV2 Outil d'évaluation environnementale des technologies de traitement de sols et des eaux souterraines pollués. BRGM/RP-70097-FR, 250 p., 89 fig., 49 tab., 1 ann.
- [7] CRC CARE, 2019, Remediation Action Plan: Implementation Guideline on health and safety, National Remediation Framework, CRC for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, Newcastle, Australia.
- [8] ISO/DIS 18400-301, Soil quality Sampling Part 301: Sampling- and on site semi-quantitative determinations of volatiles in field investigations [Under development]
- [9] CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY RESEARCH AND INFORMATION ASSOCIATION CIRIA Report C681, Unexploded ordnance (UXO): A guide for the construction industry, CIRIA (London) 2009.
- [10] SelecDEPOL Outil interactif de pré-sélection des techniques de dépollution http://www .selecdepol.fr
- [11] CONCAWE, Sludge Farming: A Technique for the Disposal of Oily Refinery Wastes, CONCAWE Report 3/80, The Hague, 1980, pp 95.